

# Fourier Integral Operators of Multi-Phase and the Fundamental Solution for a Hyperbolic System

By

Hitoshi KUMANO-GO and Kazuo TANIGUCHI

(Osaka Univ. and Univ. of Osaka Prefecture, Japan)

**Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{P}^0(\tau)$  ( $0 \leq \tau < 1$ ) denote the set of phase functions  $\phi(x, \xi)$  such that  $\phi(x, \xi)$  are of class  $C^2$  in  $R^{2n} = R_x^n \times R_\xi^n$  and  $J(x, \xi) \equiv \phi(x, \xi) - x \cdot \xi$  satisfy

$$\|J\|_0 \equiv \sum_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq 2} \sup_{x, \xi} \{|J_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| / \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\alpha|}\} \leq \tau.$$

For  $\phi_j(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}^0(\tau_j)$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots, \nu+1, \dots$ , such that  $\bar{\tau}_\infty \equiv \sum_{j=1}^\infty \tau_j \leq \tau_0$  ( $\leq 1/8$ ), we define  $\{X_\nu^j, \Xi_\nu^j\}_{j=1}^\nu(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})$  for any  $\nu$  as the solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} x^j = \nabla_\xi \phi_j(x^{j-1}, \xi^j), \\ \xi^j = \nabla_x \phi_{j+1}(x^j, \xi^{j+1}), \quad j=1, 2, \dots, \nu. \end{cases}$$

Then, Theorem 1.4 of the present paper is the fundamental theorem concerning the property of the family of solutions  $\{X_\nu^j, \Xi_\nu^j\}_{j=1}^\nu$ ,  $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ , whose proof is given in [10]. The multi-product  $\Phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) = \phi_1 \# \phi_2 \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  of phase functions  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{\nu+1}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^\nu (\phi_j(X_\nu^{j-1}, \Xi_\nu^j) - X_\nu^j \cdot \Xi_\nu^j) + \phi_{\nu+1}(X_\nu^\nu, \Xi_\nu^{\nu+1}) \quad (X_\nu^0 = x^0). \end{aligned}$$

As the subset of  $\mathcal{P}^0(\tau)$  we define the class  $\mathcal{P}_\rho(\tau)$  ( $1/2 < \rho \leq 1$ ) by the class of phase functions  $\phi(x, \xi)$  ( $\in \mathcal{P}^0(\tau)$ ) such that  $J_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho}^{1-|\alpha|}$  for  $|\alpha+\beta|=2$ , and often set  $\mathcal{P}(\tau) = \mathcal{P}_\rho(\tau)$ , where  $S_\rho^m$  ( $-\infty < m < \infty$ ) denotes the usual class  $S_{\rho, 1-\rho}^m$  of symbols of pseudo-differential operators  $p(X, D_x)$ .

The purpose of the present paper is to represent the product  $P_{1, \phi_1} P_{2, \phi_2} \dots P_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}}$  of Fourier integral operators  $P_{j, \phi_j}$  with phase functions  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{P}_\rho(\tau_j)$  and symbols  $p_j(x, \xi) \in S_\rho^{m_j}$  by a Fourier integral operator  $Q_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}}$  with phase function  $\Phi_{\nu+1} = \phi_1 \# \phi_2 \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}$  and symbol  $q_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  of class  $S_\rho^{m_{\nu+1}}$  ( $m_{\nu+1} = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{\nu+1}$ ). As an application we represent the fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  for a hyperbolic system

$$L = D_t + \mathcal{D}(t) + B(t) \quad \text{on } [0, T]$$

with characteristics of variable multiplicity by Fourier integral operators of multi-phase, where

$$\mathcal{D}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(t, X, D_x) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_l(t, X, D_x) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\lambda_j(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}^\infty([0, T]; S^1), j = 1, \dots, l)$$

and

$$B(t) = (b_{jk}(t, X, D_x)_{k=1, \dots, l}^{j=1, \dots, l})$$

$$(b_{jk}(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}^\infty([0, T]; S^0), j, k = 1, \dots, l).$$

Here,  $S^m = S_1^m$  and  $p(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}^k([0, T]; S_\rho^m)$  means that  $p(t, x, \xi)$  belongs to  $S_\rho^m$  for any fixed  $t \in [0, T]$  and is  $k$ -times ( $S^m$ -valued) continuously differentiable with respect to  $t$  on  $[0, T]$ .

Using this fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  we can get a generalization of the representation theorems obtained by Ludwig-Granoff [11] and Hata [4] for the solution  $U$  of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} LU = 0 & \text{on } [0, T], \\ U|_{t=0} = U_0, \end{cases}$$

and get a theorem concerning the propagation of singularities of the solution  $U$ .

The fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  is obtained by the Levi method, and in the series of the successive approximation for  $E(t, s)$  each term is represented by Fourier integral operators of multi-phase. We should note that in this process we only solve eiconal equations, and make use of the calculus of Fourier integral operators of multi-phase instead of solving transport equations.

## § 1. Main results on calculus of phase functions

In this section we review the main results obtained in [10] concerning multi-products of phase functions.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that a  $C^\infty$ -function  $p(x, \xi)$  in  $R^{2n} = R_x^n \times R_\xi^n$  belongs to the class  $S_\rho^m (= S_{\rho, 1-\rho}^m)$  for  $1/2 < \rho \leq 1$  and  $-\infty < m < \infty$  (c.f., [5] or [7]), when we have for any multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$

$$|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - |\alpha| + (1-\rho)|\alpha + \beta|}.$$

Here

$$p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)} = D_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha p, \quad D_{x_j} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \partial_{\xi_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}.$$

The class  $S_\rho^m$  makes a Fréchet space with semi-norms

$$|p|_l^{(m)} = \max_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq l} \sup_{x, \xi} \{ |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| / \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|+(1-\rho)|\alpha+\beta|} \} \quad (l=0, 1, \dots).$$

We set  $S^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{-\infty < m < \infty} S_1^m$  and  $S^m = S_1^m$ .

**Definition 1.2.** i) We say that a real-valued  $C^2$ -function  $\phi(x, \xi)$  in  $R^{2n}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}^0(\tau)$  ( $0 \leq \tau < 1$ ) of phase functions, if we have for  $J(x, \xi) \equiv \phi(x, \xi) - x \cdot \xi$

$$(1.1) \quad \|J\|_0 \equiv \sum_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq 2} \sup_{x, \xi} \{ |J_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| / \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\alpha|} \} \leq \tau,$$

where  $x \cdot \xi = x_1 \xi_1 + \dots + x_n \xi_n$ .

ii) We say that a phase function  $\phi(x, \xi)$  of class  $\mathcal{P}^0(\tau)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}_\rho(\tau)$  ( $1/2 < \rho \leq 1$ ), if  $J(x, \xi)$  belongs to  $S_\rho^1((2))$  in the sense:

$$(1.2) \quad J_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \in S_\rho^{1-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\alpha+\beta|=2$$

(c.f., [8] and compare with [6]).

For  $J(x, \xi) \in S_\rho^1((2))$  we introduce semi-norms  $\|J\|_l$ ,  $l=1, 2, \dots$ , by

$$(1.2)' \quad \|J\|_l = \|J\|_0 + \sum_{3 \leq |\alpha+\beta| \leq 2+l} \sup_{x, \xi} \{ |J_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| / \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\alpha|+(1-\rho)(|\alpha+\beta|-2)} \}.$$

Then,  $S_\rho^1((2))$  makes a Fréchet space with these semi-norms.

*Remark.* In [10] we denoted  $\mathcal{P}^0(\tau)$  by  $\mathcal{P}(\tau)$ . In the present paper we often write  $\mathcal{P}_1(\tau) = \mathcal{P}(\tau)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\phi_j$  belong to  $\mathcal{P}^0(\tau_j)$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots, \nu+1, \dots$ , with  $\bar{\tau}_{\nu+1} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \tau_j \leq \bar{\tau}_\infty \equiv \sum_{j=1}^\infty \tau_j \leq \tau_0$  ( $\leq 1/8$ ). We define the multi-product  $\Phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) = \phi_1 \# \phi_2 \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  of phase functions  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{\nu+1}$  by

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (\phi_j(X_\nu^{j-1}, \Xi_\nu^j) - X^j \cdot \Xi_\nu^j) + \phi_{\nu+1}(X_\nu^\nu, \xi^{\nu+1}) \quad (X_\nu^0 = x^0),$$

where  $\{X_\nu^j, \Xi_\nu^j\}_{j=1}^\nu (x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})$  is defined as the solution of the equation

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} x^j = \nabla_\xi \phi_j(x^{j-1}, \xi^j), \\ \xi^j = \nabla_x \phi_{j+1}(x^j, \xi^{j+1}), \quad j=1, \dots, \nu \\ (\nabla_\xi \phi = {}^t(\partial_{\xi_1} \phi, \dots, \partial_{\xi_n} \phi), \nabla_x \phi = {}^t(\partial_{x_1} \phi, \dots, \partial_{x_n} \phi)). \end{cases}$$

This definition is justified by the following

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $\phi_j$  belong to  $\mathcal{P}^0(\tau_j)$ ,  $j=1, \dots, \nu+1, \dots$ , with  $\bar{\tau}_\infty \leq \tau_0 \leq 1/8$ . Then, the equation (1.4) has the unique  $C^1$ -solution  $\{X_\nu^j, \mathcal{E}_\nu^j\}_{j=1}^\nu (x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})$  in  $R^{2n}$ , which satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{i)} \quad \sum_{j=1}^\nu \sum_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq 1} \{ \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{|\alpha|} |\partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^\beta (X_\nu^j - X_\nu^{j-1})| \\ \quad + \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{-1+|\alpha|} |\partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^\beta (\mathcal{E}_\nu^j - \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1})| \} \\ \quad \leq (48n+3)\bar{\tau}_{\nu+1} \quad (X_\nu^0 = x^0, \mathcal{E}_\nu^{\nu+1} = \xi^{\nu+1}), \\ \text{ii)} \quad \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle \leq \langle \mathcal{E}_\nu^j \rangle \leq 2 \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle \quad (j=1, \dots, \nu), \\ \text{iii)} \quad |\nabla_{x^0} X_\nu^j| + \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{-1} |\nabla_{x^0} \mathcal{E}_\nu^j| + \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle |\nabla_{\xi^{\nu+1}} X_\nu^j| + |\nabla_{\xi^{\nu+1}} \mathcal{E}_\nu^j| \leq 8\sqrt{n} \\ \quad (j=1, \dots, \nu), \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\nabla_x f = (\partial_{x_j} f_{k \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ j-1, \dots, n \end{smallmatrix}}^{k \begin{smallmatrix} 1, \dots, n \end{smallmatrix}})$ ,  $\nabla_\xi f = (\partial_{\xi_j} f_{k \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ j-1, \dots, n \end{smallmatrix}}^{k \begin{smallmatrix} 1, \dots, n \end{smallmatrix}})$  for a function  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  (see Theorem 1.7 of [10]).

**Theorem 1.4'.** In Theorem 1.4, furthermore, we assume that  $\{J_j/\tau_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is bounded in  $S_\rho^1(2)$ . Then, for any  $\alpha, \beta$  there exist constants  $C_{\alpha, \beta}$  and  $C'_{\alpha, \beta}$  independent of  $\nu$  such that

$$(1.6) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{i)} \quad \sum_{j=1}^\nu \{ \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{|\alpha| - (1-\rho)(|\alpha+\beta|-1)} |\partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^\beta (X_\nu^j - X_\nu^{j-1})| \\ \quad + \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{-1+|\alpha| - (1-\rho)(|\alpha+\beta|-1)} |\partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^\beta (\mathcal{E}_\nu^j - \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1})| \} \\ \quad \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \bar{\tau}_{\nu+1} \quad (|\alpha+\beta| \geq 2), \\ \text{ii)} \quad \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{|\alpha| - (1-\rho)(|\alpha+\beta|-1)} |\partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^\beta X_\nu^j| \\ \quad + \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{-1+|\alpha| - (1-\rho)(|\alpha+\beta|-1)} |\partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^\beta \mathcal{E}_\nu^j| \\ \quad \leq C'_{\alpha, \beta} \quad (|\alpha+\beta| \geq 2, j=1, \dots, \nu). \end{array} \right.$$

We give only the sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.4 below in four steps. The detailed proof is given in [10].

1) Set

$$(1.7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y^j = x^j - x^{j-1}, \quad \eta^j = \xi^j - \xi^{j+1} \quad (j=1, \dots, \nu), \\ (y, \eta) = (y^1, \dots, y^\nu, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^\nu), \\ \bar{y}^j = y^1 + \dots + y^j, \quad \bar{\eta}^j = \eta^j + \dots + \eta^\nu \quad (j=1, \dots, \nu). \end{array} \right.$$

Then, the equation (1.4) is equivalent to

$$(1.8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_j(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \equiv y^j - \nabla_\xi J_j(x^0 + \bar{y}^{j-1}, \bar{\eta}^j + \xi^{\nu+1}) = 0, \\ g_j(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \equiv \eta^j - \nabla_x J_{j+1}(x^0 + \bar{y}^j, \bar{\eta}^{j+1} + \xi^{\nu+1}) = 0 \\ \quad (\bar{y}^0 = 0, \bar{\eta}^{\nu+1} = 0; j=1, \dots, \nu). \end{array} \right.$$

Assume that for a fixed  $(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \in R^{2n}$  we have a solution  $(y, \eta)$ . Then, we have by (1.8),

$$|\eta^j| \leq \tau_{j+1} \langle \bar{\eta}^{j+1} + \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle, \quad j=1, \dots, \nu.$$

Hence, using,

$$\langle \bar{\eta}^{j+1} + \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle \leq |\bar{\eta}^{j+1}| + \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle \leq \sum_{k=j+1}^{\nu} |\eta^k| + \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |\eta^j| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \tau_{j+1} \left( \sum_{k=j+1}^{\nu} |\eta^k| + \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\nu} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_{j+1} \right) |\eta^k| + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \tau_{j+1} \right) \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle \\ &\leq \bar{\tau}_{\nu+1} \sum_{k=2}^{\nu} |\eta^k| + \bar{\tau}_{\nu+1} \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So we get  $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |\eta^j| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle$ . Consequently, if we set for a fixed  $(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \in R^{2n}$

$$(1.9) \quad \Sigma = \left\{ (y, \eta) \in R^{2\nu n}; \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |\eta^j| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle \right\},$$

we see that the solution  $(y, \eta)$  can be found in  $\Sigma$  if exists.

II) For  $(y, \eta) \in \Sigma$  we define the norm  $\|(y, \eta)\|$  by

$$(1.10) \quad \|(y, \eta)\| = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \{ |y^j| + \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{-1} |\eta^j| \}.$$

Consider a mapping  $T: \Sigma \ni (y, \eta) \rightarrow (w, \gamma) = T(y, \eta) \in \Sigma$  defined by

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{cases} w^j = \nabla_{\xi} J_j(x^0 + \bar{y}^{j-1}, \bar{\eta}^j + \xi^{\nu+1}), \\ \gamma^j = \nabla_x J_{j+1}(x^0 + \bar{y}^j, \bar{\eta}^{j+1} + \xi^{\nu+1}), \quad j=1, \dots, \nu. \end{cases}$$

Then, we see that the mapping  $T$  is into and contractive. So the unique solution  $(y, \eta) = (y^1, \dots, y^{\nu}, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^{\nu})$  of (1.8) is obtained as the fixed point of the mapping  $T$ . Then, setting  $x^j = x^0 + \bar{y}^j$  and  $\xi^j = \bar{\eta}^j + \xi^{\nu+1}$  we get the unique solution of (1.4).

III) We set for any point  $(z^0, \{Z_{\nu}^j, \Psi_{\nu}^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu}, \psi^{\nu+1})$  with the solution  $\{Z_{\nu}^j, \Psi_{\nu}^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu}$  for  $(z^0, \psi^{\nu+1})$

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{cases} a_j = \nabla_x \nabla_{\xi} J_j(Z_{\nu}^{j-1}, \Psi_{\nu}^j), & b_j = \nabla_{\xi} \nabla_{\xi} J_j(Z_{\nu}^{j-1}, \Psi_{\nu}^j), \\ c_{j+1} = \nabla_x \nabla_x J_{j+1}(Z_{\nu}^j, \Psi_{\nu}^{j+1}), & d_{j+1} = \nabla_{\xi} \nabla_x J_{j+1}(Z_{\nu}^j, \Psi_{\nu}^{j+1}) \end{cases}$$

$$(Z_{\nu}^0 = z^0, \Psi_{\nu}^{\nu+1} = \psi^{\nu+1}),$$

and set

$$(1.13) \quad H = \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_\nu, g_1, \dots, g_\nu)}{\partial(y^1, \dots, y^\nu, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^\nu)} \quad \text{at } (z^0, \{Z_\nu^j - Z_\nu^{j-1}, \Psi_\nu^j - \Psi_\nu^{j+1}\}_{j=1}^\nu, \psi^{\nu+1}).$$

Then, we have by easy calculation

$$H = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -b_1 & -b_1 & \dots & -b_1 \\ -a_2 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & -b_2 & & -b_2 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ -a_\nu & -a_\nu & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -b_\nu \\ \hline -c_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -d_2 & \dots & -d_2 \\ -c_3 & -c_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -d_3 & \dots & -d_3 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ -c_{\nu+1} & -c_{\nu+1} & \dots & -c_{\nu+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Hence, we have  $\det(H) \neq 0$ , since  $0 < (1 - \tau_0)^{2\nu n} \leq \det(H) \leq (1 + \tau_0)^{2\nu n}$  (see Proposition 1.5 of [10]). So by the implicit function theorem we can prove that the function  $\{X_\nu^j - X_\nu^{j-1}, \mathcal{E}_\nu^j - \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1}\}_{j=1}^\nu$  and consequently  $\{X_\nu^j, \mathcal{E}_\nu^j\}_{j=1}^\nu (x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})$  are of class  $C^1$  in a neighborhood of  $(z^0, \psi^{\nu+1})$ .

IV) Finally we prove (1.5) for the solution of (1.4). Since we have

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{cases} X_\nu^j - X_\nu^{j-1} = \nabla_{\xi} J_j(X_\nu^{j-1}, \mathcal{E}_\nu^j), \\ \mathcal{E}_\nu^j - \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1} = \nabla_x J_{j+1}(X_\nu^j, \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1}), \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{cases} |X_\nu^j - X_\nu^{j-1}| \leq \tau_j, & |\mathcal{E}_\nu^j - \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1}| \leq 2\tau_{j+1} \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle, \\ |\mathcal{E}_\nu^j - \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle, & j = 1, \dots, \nu \end{cases}$$

and get (1.5)-ii). Applying  $\nabla_{x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}} = (\nabla_{x^0}, \nabla_{\xi^{\nu+1}})$  to the both sides of (1.14), we have

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_{x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}}(X_\nu^j - X_\nu^{j-1}) = \nabla_x \nabla_{\xi} J_j(X_\nu^{j-1}, \mathcal{E}_\nu^j) \nabla_{x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}} X_\nu^{j-1} \\ \quad + \nabla_{\xi} \nabla_{\xi} J_j(X_\nu^{j-1}, \mathcal{E}_\nu^j) \nabla_{x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}} \mathcal{E}_\nu^j, \\ \nabla_{x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}}(\mathcal{E}_\nu^j - \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1}) = \nabla_x \nabla_x J_{j+1}(X_\nu^j, \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1}) \nabla_{x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}} X_\nu^j \\ \quad + \nabla_{\xi} \nabla_x J_{j+1}(X_\nu^j, \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1}) \nabla_{x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}} \mathcal{E}_\nu^{j+1}. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have (1.5)-i) and iii) by (1.15), (1.16) and (1.5)-ii).

Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4'.* Operating  $\partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^\alpha D_{x^0}^\beta$  to the both sides of (1.16), we have (1.6) by induction on  $|\alpha + \beta|$ .

Q.E.D.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{P}^0(\tau_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu + 1, \dots$ , with  $\bar{\tau}_\infty \leq \tau_0 (\leq 1/8)$ . Then,  $\Phi_{\nu+1} = \phi_1 \# \phi_2 \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}$  is well defined by (1.3), belongs to  $\mathcal{P}^0(c_0 \bar{\tau}_{\nu+1})$  with a constant  $c_0$

( $\geq 1$ ) independent of  $\nu$  and  $\bar{\tau}_{\nu+1}$ , and satisfies

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_{x^0} \Phi_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) = (\mathcal{V}_x \phi_1)(x^0, \Xi_v^1(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})), \\ \mathcal{V}_{\xi^{\nu+1}} \Phi_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) = (\mathcal{V}_{\xi} \phi_{\nu+1})(X_v^{\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}), \xi^{\nu+1}). \end{cases}$$

From this theorem and Theorem 1.4' we get immediately

**Theorem 1.5'.** In Theorem 1.5 we assume that  $\{J_j/\tau_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded in  $S_{\rho}^1((2))$ . Then,  $J_{\nu+1} = \Phi_{\nu+1} - x \cdot \xi$  is bounded in  $S_{\rho}^1((2))$  with respect to  $\nu$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Operating  $\mathcal{V}_{x^0}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\xi^{\nu+1}}$  to the both sides of (1.3), we have (1.17) by using the fact that  $\{X_v^j, \Xi_v^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu}$  is the solution of (1.4). Then, together with Theorem 1.4, we can prove the theorem. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{P}(\tau_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu+1, \dots$ , such that  $\bar{\tau}_{\infty} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \leq c_0^{-1} \tau_0$ , and let  $\{X_v^j, \Xi_v^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})$  be the solution of the equation (1.4). Setting  $\Phi_{\nu+1} = \phi_1 \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}$ , define  $\{\tilde{X}_{\nu+1}^{\nu+1}, \tilde{\Xi}_{\nu+1}^{\nu+1}\}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2})$  as the solution of the equation

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{cases} x^{\nu+1} = \mathcal{V}_{\xi^{\nu+1}} \Phi_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}), \\ \xi^{\nu+1} = \mathcal{V}_x \phi_{\nu+2}(x^{\nu+1}, \xi^{\nu+2}), \end{cases}$$

and set

$$(1.19) \quad \{\tilde{X}_{\nu+1}^j, \tilde{\Xi}_{\nu+1}^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2}) = \{X_v^j, \Xi_v^j\}(x^0, \tilde{\Xi}_{\nu+1}^1(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2})).$$

Then, we have

$$(1.20) \quad \{X_{\nu+1}^j, \Xi_{\nu+1}^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2}) = \{\tilde{X}_{\nu+1}^j, \tilde{\Xi}_{\nu+1}^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2}).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$(1.21) \quad \begin{cases} \text{i) } \Phi_{\nu+1} \# \phi_{\nu+2} = \Phi_{\nu+2}, \\ \text{ii) } (\phi_1 \# \phi_2) \# \phi_3 = \phi_1 \# (\phi_2 \# \phi_3) = \phi_1 \# \phi_2 \# \phi_3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Noting (1.17), we see that  $\{\tilde{X}_{\nu+1}^j, \tilde{\Xi}_{\nu+1}^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu+1}$  is the solution of (1.4) for  $\nu$  replaced by  $\nu+1$ , and we get (1.20). Then, we have (1.21)-i) by (1.3) and (1.20). Similarly we can prove (1.21)-ii). Q.E.D.

Now consider a hyperbolic operator of the form

$$(1.22) \quad L_0 = D_t + \lambda(t, x, D_x) \quad \text{on } [0, T],$$

where  $\lambda(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}^{\infty}([0, T]; S^1)$  is a real valued function on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . For  $L_0$  we consider the eiconal equation

$$(1.23) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \phi + \lambda(t, x, \nabla_x \phi) = 0 & \text{on } [0, T], \\ \phi|_{t=s} = x \cdot \xi. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $\phi = \phi(t, s) = \phi(t, s; x, \xi)$  ( $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ ) be the solution of (1.23). Then, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$(1.24) \quad \begin{cases} \text{i)} & \phi(t, s) \in \mathcal{P}(c(t-s)), \\ \text{ii)} & \{J(t, s)/(t-s)\} \text{ is bounded in } S^1, \end{cases}$$

where  $J(t, s) = \phi(t, s; x, \xi) - x \cdot \xi$ .

Proof is easily done for a small  $T > 0$ , if we follow the similar procedure to that in Section 3 of [8]. We fix such a  $T$  in what follows.

**Proposition 1.8.** *For the solution  $\phi(t, s)$  of (1.23) we have*

$$(1.25) \quad \partial_s \phi(t, s; x, \xi) = \lambda(s, \nabla_\xi \phi(t, s; x, \xi), \xi).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(y(\sigma), \eta(\sigma))$  be the bicharacteristic curve of  $\lambda(t, X, D_x)$  which passes through the point  $(x_0, \xi)$  when  $\sigma = s$ , where  $x_0 = \nabla_\xi \phi(t, s; x, \xi)$ . Then,  $(\partial_s \phi)(\sigma, s; y(\sigma), \xi)$  is independent of  $\sigma$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_s \phi)(t, s; x, \xi) &= (\partial_s \phi)(t, s; x_0, \xi)|_{t=s} \\ &= \partial_s \phi(s, s; x_0, \xi) - \partial_t \phi(t, s; x_0, \xi)|_{t=s} = -\partial_t \phi(t, s; x_0, \xi)|_{t=s} \\ &= \lambda(s, x_0, \nabla_x \phi(s, s; x_0, \xi)) = \lambda(s, \nabla_\xi \phi(t, s; x, \xi), \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (1.25). Q.E.D.

Now, take  $\lambda_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu + 1, \dots$ ) as  $\lambda$  of (1.22) and let  $\phi_j(t, s)$  be the solution of (1.23) corresponding to  $\lambda_j$ . We define  $\Phi = \Phi_{1,2,\dots,\nu+1}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{\nu+1})$  by

$$\Phi(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{\nu+1}) = \phi_1(t_0, t_1) \# \phi_2(t_1, t_2) \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}(t_\nu, t_{\nu+1}),$$

and define  $\{X_\nu^j, \mathcal{E}_{\nu,j=1}^\nu(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{\nu+1}; x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})\}$  as the solution of the equation

$$(1.26) \quad \begin{cases} x^j = \nabla_\xi \phi_j(t_{j-1}, t_j; x^{j-1}, \xi^j), \\ \xi^j = \nabla_x \phi_{j+1}(t_j, t_{j+1}; x^j, \xi^{j+1}), \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu. \end{cases}$$

Then, we obtain the following

**Theorem 1.9.**  $\Phi = \Phi(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{\nu+1})$  satisfies

1.°

$$(1.27) \quad \begin{cases} \text{i)} & \partial_{t_0} \Phi = -\lambda_1(t_0, x^0, \nabla_{x^0} \Phi), \\ \text{ii)} & \partial_{t_j} \Phi = \lambda_j(t_j, X_\nu^j, \mathcal{E}_\nu^j) - \lambda_{j+1}(t_j, X_\nu^j, \mathcal{E}_\nu^j) \quad (j = 1, \dots, \nu), \\ \text{iii)} & \partial_{t_{\nu+1}} \Phi = \lambda_{\nu+1}(t_{\nu+1}, \nabla_{\xi^{\nu+1}} \Phi, \xi^{\nu+1}). \end{cases}$$

2.° If  $t_j = t_{j+1}$  for some  $j$ , we have

$$(1.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_{1,2,\dots,\nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_j, t_{j+1}, t_{j+2}, \dots, t_{\nu+1}; x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \\ &= \Phi_{1,2,\dots,j,j+2,\dots,\nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_j, t_{j+2}, \dots, t_{\nu+1}; x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \\ & \quad \text{(the index } j+1 \text{ disappears).} \end{aligned}$$

3.° If  $\lambda_j(t, x, \xi) = \lambda_{j+1}(t, x, \xi)$  (therefore  $\phi_j = \phi_{j+1}$ ) for some  $j$ , we have

$$(1.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_{1,2,\dots,\nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{\nu+1}; x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \\ &= \Phi_{1,2,\dots,j-1,j+1,\dots,\nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{\nu+1}; x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \\ & \quad \text{(the index } j \text{ disappears).} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* 1.° From the definition of  $\Phi$  and (1.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_k} \Phi &= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \{ \partial_{t_k} \phi_j(t_{j-1}, t_j; X_{\nu}^{j-1}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^j) + \nabla_x \phi_j(t_{j-1}, t_j; X_{\nu}^{j-1}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^j) \cdot \partial_{t_k} X_{\nu}^{j-1} \\ & \quad + \nabla_{\xi} \phi_j(t_{j-1}, t_j; X_{\nu}^{j-1}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^j) \cdot \partial_{t_k} \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^j - \partial_{t_k} X_{\nu}^j \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^j - X_{\nu}^j \cdot \partial_{t_k} \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^j \} \\ & \quad + \partial_{t_k} \phi_{\nu+1}(t_{\nu}, t_{\nu+1}; X_{\nu}^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu+1}) + \nabla_x \phi_{\nu+1}(t_{\nu}, t_{\nu+1}; X_{\nu}^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu+1}) \cdot \partial_{t_k} X_{\nu}^{\nu} \\ &= \partial_{t_k} \phi_k(t_{k-1}, t_k; X_{\nu}^{k-1}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^k) + \partial_{t_k} \phi_{k+1}(t_k, t_{k+1}; X_{\nu}^k, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{k+1}) \\ & \quad (X_{\nu}^0 = x^0, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{\nu+1} = \xi^{\nu+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have 1° by (1.17), (1.23), (1.25) and (1.26).

2.° If  $t_j = t_{j+1}$ , we have  $\phi_{j+1}(t_j, t_{j+1}; X_{\nu}^j, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{j+1}) = X_{\nu}^j \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{j+1}$ ,  $X_{\nu}^j = X_{\nu}^{j+1}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\nu}^j = \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{j+1}$ . Therefore, we get (1.28) from the definition of  $\Phi$ .

3.° As  $\partial_{t_j} \Phi = 0$  by ii) of 1° if  $\lambda_j = \lambda_{j+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{1,2,\dots,\nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_j, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{\nu+1}; x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \\ &= \Phi_{1,2,\dots,\nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{\nu+1}; x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get (1.29) by 2.°

Q.E.D.

**Corollary.** For  $\Phi_{1,2} = \phi_1 \# \phi_2$  we have

$$(1.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{t_0} \Phi_{1,2} + \partial_{t_1} \Phi_{1,2} &= -\lambda_2(t_0, x^0, \nabla_{x^0} \Phi_{1,2}) \\ & \quad + \{ \lambda_2(t_0, x^0, \nabla_{x^0} \Phi_{1,2}) - \lambda_1(t_0, x^0, \nabla_{x^0} \Phi_{1,2}) \} \\ & \quad - \{ \lambda_2(t_1, X_1^1, \mathcal{E}_1^1) - \lambda_1(t_1, X_1^1, \mathcal{E}_1^1) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if the Poisson bracket:

$$(1.31) \quad \{ \tau + \lambda_1, \tau + \lambda_2 \} \equiv \partial_t \lambda_1 - \partial_t \lambda_2 + \nabla_x \lambda_1 \cdot \nabla_{\xi} \lambda_2 - \nabla_x \lambda_2 \cdot \nabla_{\xi} \lambda_1 = 0,$$

then we have

$$(1.32) \quad \partial_{t_0} \Phi_{1,2} + \partial_{t_1} \Phi_{1,2} = -\lambda_2(t_0, x^0, \nabla_{x^0} \Phi_{1,2}),$$

where  $\tau$  is the dual variable of  $t$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(y(\sigma), \eta(\sigma))$  be the bicharacteristic curve of  $\lambda_1(t, X, D_x)$  which passes through the point  $(x^0, \nabla_x \phi_1(t_0, t_1; x^0, \mathcal{E}_1^1))$  when  $\sigma = t_0$ . Then, we have (1.32), since we have  $y(t_1) = X_1^1$ ,  $\eta(t_1) = \mathcal{E}_1^1$  and  $\lambda_2(\sigma, y(\sigma), \eta(\sigma)) - \lambda_1(\sigma, y(\sigma), \eta(\sigma))$  is independent of  $\sigma$ .  
Q.E.D.

**Theorem 1.10.** Let  $\lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}^\infty([0, T]; S^1)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, \nu + 1$ ) and let  $\phi_j(t, s)$  be the solutions of (1.23) corresponding to  $\lambda_j$ . Set

$$(1.33) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_{1,2}(t, \theta, s) = \phi_1(t, \theta) \# \phi_2(\theta, s), \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{1,2}(t, \theta, s) = \phi_2(t, \theta) \# \phi_1(\theta, s), \end{cases}$$

and set for  $1 \leq k \leq \nu$

$$(1.34) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_{1, \dots, \nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{\nu+1}) \\ \quad = \phi_1(t_0, t_1) \# \dots \# \phi_k(t_{k-1}, t_k) \# \phi_{k+1}(t_k, t_{k+1}) \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}(t_\nu, t_{\nu+1}), \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{1, \dots, \nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{\nu+1}) \\ \quad = \phi_1(t_0, t_1) \# \dots \# \phi_{k+1}(t_{k-1}, t_k) \# \phi_k(t_k, t_{k+1}) \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}(t_\nu, t_{\nu+1}). \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$(1.35) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{1,2}(t, \theta, s) = \Phi_{1,2}(t, t - \theta + s, s)$$

if the Poisson bracket  $\{\tau + \lambda_1, \tau + \lambda_2\} = 0$ . Furthermore, we have

$$(1.36) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\Phi}_{1, \dots, \nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}, t_k, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_{\nu+1}) \\ & = \Phi_{1, \dots, \nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}, t_{k-1} - t_k + t_{k+1}, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_{\nu+1}). \end{aligned}$$

if  $\{\tau + \lambda_k, \tau + \lambda_{k+1}\} = 0$ .

*Proof.* By 1° of Theorem 1.9 we have

$$\partial_t \tilde{\Phi}_{1,2}(t, \theta, s) = -\lambda_2(t, x^0, \nabla_x \tilde{\Phi}_{1,2}),$$

and by (1.32) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \Phi_{1,2}(t, t - \theta + s, s) \\ & = (\partial_t \Phi_{1,2})(t, t - \theta + s, s) + (\partial_\theta \Phi_{1,2})(t, t - \theta + s, s) \\ & = -\lambda_2(t, x^0, \nabla_x \Phi_{1,2}(t, t - \theta + s, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\tilde{\Phi}_{1,2}(t, \theta, s)$  and  $\Phi_{1,2}(t, t - \theta + s, s)$  satisfy the same differential equation. On the other hand

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{1,2}(\theta, \theta, s) = \phi_1(\theta, s) = \Phi_{1,2}(\theta, s, s).$$

So we get (1.35).

Using (1.35) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\Phi}_{1, \dots, \nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}, t_k, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_{\nu+1}) \\
 &= \phi_1(t_0, t_1) \# \dots \# (\phi_{k+1}(t_{k-1}, t_k) \# \phi_k(t_k, t_{k+1})) \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}(t_{\nu}, t_{\nu+1}) \\
 &= \phi_1(t_0, t_1) \# \dots \# (\phi_k(t_{k-1}, t_{k-1} - t_k + t_{k+1}) \# \phi_{k+1}(t_{k-1} - t_k + t_{k+1}, t_{k+1})) \# \\
 & \quad \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}(t_{\nu}, t_{\nu+1}). \\
 &= \tilde{\Phi}_{1, \dots, \nu+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}, t_{k-1} - t_k + t_{k+1}, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_{\nu+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get (1.36).

Q.E.D.

Finally, we note that, for  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{P}_1(\tau_j)$  ( $\bar{\tau}_\infty \equiv \sum_{j=1}^\infty \tau_j \leq \tau_0$ ) such that  $\{J_j/\tau_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is bounded in  $S^1$ , we can find  $\tilde{\phi}_j(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_1(ct)$  for a constant  $c > 0$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_j(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}^1([0, T]; S^1)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that “ $\partial_t \tilde{\phi}_j + \tilde{\lambda}_j(t, x, \nabla_x \tilde{\phi}_j) = 0$  on  $[0, T]$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_j|_{t=0} = x \cdot \xi$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_j(\tau_j, x, \xi) = \phi_j(x, \xi)$ ” and  $\{\tilde{J}_j/\tau_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ ,  $\{\tilde{\lambda}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  are bounded in  $S^1$ , where  $\tilde{J}_j = \tilde{\phi}_j - x \cdot \xi$ . This fact can be shown by setting  $\tilde{\phi}_j(t, x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + a(t/\tau_j)J_j(x, \xi)$  and using the discussion in [1], where  $a(t)$  is a  $C^1$ -function in  $R^1$  such that  $a(t) = 0$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ ),  $= 1$  ( $t \geq 1$ ).

## § 2. Fourier integral operators of multi-phase

**Definition 2.1** (c. f., [7]). Let  $a(\eta, y)$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function in  $R^{2n} = R_\eta^n \times R_y^n$  satisfying for any multi-index  $\alpha, \beta$

$$|\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a(\eta, y)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \eta \rangle^{m + \delta |\beta|} \langle y \rangle^\tau \quad (-\infty < m < \infty, 0 \leq \tau, 0 \leq \delta < 1).$$

We define the oscillatory integral for  $a(\eta, y)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 O_s - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} a(\eta, y) dy d\eta \\
 & \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \chi(\varepsilon \eta, \varepsilon y) a(\eta, y) dy d\eta \\
 & = \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2l'} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l'} \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{2l} \langle D_y \rangle^{2l} a(\eta, y) \} dy d\eta,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\eta = (2\pi)^{-n} d\eta$ ,  $\chi(\eta, y) \in \mathcal{S}$  in  $R^{2n}$  such that  $\chi(0, 0) = 1$ , and  $l$  and  $l'$  are integers satisfying  $-2l(1 - \delta) + m < -n$  and  $-2l' + \tau < -n$ .

**Definition 2.2.** For  $\phi(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_\rho(\tau)$  and  $p(x, \xi) \in S_\rho^m$  we define the Fourier integral operator  $P_\phi = p_\phi(X, D_x)$  with symbol  $\sigma(P_\phi) = p(x, \xi)$  and phase function  $\phi(x, \xi)$  by

$$(2.1) \quad P_\phi u(x) = O_s - \iint e^{i(\phi(x, \xi) - y \cdot \xi)} p(x, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}.$$

**Theorem 2.3** (c.f., [2], [6]). Let  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{P}_\rho(\tau_j)$  ( $\tau_1 + \tau_2 \leq 1/8$ ),  $p_j \in S_\rho^{m_j}$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) and  $\{\dot{x}^1, \dot{\xi}^1\}(x^0, \xi^2)$  be the solution of

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}^1 = \nabla_{\xi} \phi_1(x^0, \xi^1), \\ \dot{\xi}^1 = \nabla_x \phi_2(x^1, \xi^2). \end{cases}$$

Define  $\phi_{1,2}(x, \xi)$  by

$$(2.3) \quad \phi_{1,2}(x^0, \xi^2) \equiv \phi_{1\#} \phi_2(x^0, \xi^2) = \phi_1(x^0, \dot{\xi}^1) - \dot{x}^1 \cdot \dot{\xi}^1 + \phi_2(\dot{x}^1, \xi^2)$$

and set

$$(2.4) \quad q(x^0, \xi^2) = O_s - \iint e^{i\psi} p_1(x^0, \xi^1) p_2(x^1, \xi^2) dx^1 d\xi^1,$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi &= \psi(x^0, x^1; \xi^1, \xi^2) \\ &= \phi_1(x^0, \xi^1) - x^1 \cdot \xi^1 + \phi_2(x^1, \xi^2) - \phi_{1,2}(x^0, \xi^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have  $q \in S_\rho^{m_1+m_2}$  and  $P_{1,\phi_1} P_{2,\phi_2} = Q_{\phi_{1,2}}$ . Furthermore,  $q(x^0, \xi^2)$  has an asymptotic expansion  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_j(x^0, \xi^2)$  with the following properties:

i)  $q_j(x^0, \xi^2)$  has the form

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} q_j(x^0, \xi^2) \\ = \sum_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq 2j} \gamma_{j,\alpha,\beta}(x^0, \xi^2) p_1^{(\alpha)}(x^0, \dot{\xi}^1) p_{2(\beta)}(\dot{x}^1, \xi^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad \gamma_{j,\alpha,\beta}(x^0, \xi^2) = \gamma_{j,\alpha,\beta}(x^0, \xi^2; \phi_1, \phi_2) \in S_\rho^{-(2\rho-1)j+|\alpha|-(1-\rho)|\alpha+\beta|},$$

and for any integer  $l$  there exists a constant  $C$  depending on  $j, l$  and  $|J_1|_{l'}^{(1)}$  and  $|J_2|_{l'}^{(1)}$  (for some  $l'$ ) such that

$$(2.8) \quad |\gamma_{j,\alpha,\beta}|_{l'}^{[-(2\rho-1)j+|\alpha|-(1-\rho)|\alpha+\beta|]} \leq C.$$

ii) For any  $N$  there exists  $r_N(x, \xi) \in S_\rho^{m_1+m_2-(2\rho-1)N}$  such that

$$(2.9) \quad P_{1,\phi_1} P_{2,\phi_2} - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} Q_{j,\phi_{1,2}} = R_{N,\phi_{1,2}},$$

and  $r_N(x, \xi)$  satisfies the following: for any integer  $l$  there exist an integer  $l'$  depending on  $|m_1|, |m_2|, N, l$  and a constant  $C$  depending on  $|m_1|, |m_2|, N, l$  and  $|J_1|_{l'}^{(1)}$  and  $|J_2|_{l'}^{(1)}$  (for some  $l''$ ) such that

$$(2.10) \quad |r_N|_{l'}^{[m_1+m_2-(2\rho-1)N]} \leq C |p_1|_{l'}^{(m_1)} |p_2|_{l'}^{(m_2)}.$$

*Proof.* I) We write

$$(2.11) \quad \phi_1(x^0, \xi^1) - x^1 \cdot \xi^1 + \phi_2(x^1, \xi^2) = \phi_{1,2}(x^0, \xi^2) + \psi$$

with  $\psi$  of (2.5). Then, it is easy to see that  $P_{1,\phi_1}P_{2,\phi_2} = Q_{\phi_{1,2}}$  for  $q(x, \xi)$  defined by (2.4). Since  $\phi_2$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_\rho(\tau_2)$  and  $0 \leq \tau_2 \leq 1/8$ , we have

$$(2.12) \quad |\nabla_{x^1} \psi| \geq |\xi^1 - \xi^2| - \frac{1}{8} \langle \xi^2 \rangle.$$

Let  $\chi(\xi)$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function in  $R^n$  such that

$$\chi(\xi) = 1 \quad (|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{4}), \quad = 0 \quad (|\xi| \geq \frac{1}{2}),$$

and set  $\chi_\infty = 1 - \chi((\xi^1 - \xi^2)/\langle \xi^2 \rangle)$ . Then, by (2.12) we have

$$(2.13) \quad |\nabla_{x^1} \psi| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\xi^1 - \xi^2| \geq \frac{1}{8} \langle \xi^2 \rangle \quad \text{on } \text{supp } \chi_\infty.$$

Setting  $T_1 = (1 + |\nabla_{\xi^1} \psi|^2)^{-1} (1 - i \nabla_{\xi^1} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\xi^1})$  and  $T_2 = -i |\nabla_{x^1} \psi|^{-2} \cdot \nabla_{x^1} \psi \cdot \nabla_{x^1}$ , we write for a fixed  $l_0 > n$  and large  $l$

$$\begin{aligned} q_\infty(x^0, \xi^2) &\equiv O_s - \iint e^{i\psi} \chi_\infty p_1(x^0, \xi^1) p_2(x^1, \xi^2) dx^1 d\xi^1 \\ &= \iint e^{i\psi} (T_2^l (T_1^l)^{l_0} \{ \chi_\infty p_1(x^0, \xi^1) p_2(x^1, \xi^2) \}) dx^1 d\xi^1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_j^l$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) are the transport operators of  $T_j$ . Then, we see that

$$(2.14) \quad q_\infty(x^0, \xi^2) \in S^{-\infty}.$$

II) For  $\chi_0 = \chi((\xi^1 - \xi^2)/\langle \xi^2 \rangle)$  we consider

$$(2.15) \quad q_0(x^0, \xi^2) = O_s - \iint e^{i\tilde{\psi}} \chi_0 p_1(x^0, \xi^1) p_2(x^1, \xi^2) dx^1 d\xi^1.$$

Then, by the change of variables:  $x^1 = \dot{x}^1 + y$ ,  $\xi^1 = \dot{\xi}^1 + \eta$ , we can write

$$(2.16) \quad q_0(x^0, \xi^2) = O_s - \iint e^{-i\tilde{\psi}} \tilde{\chi}_0 p_1(x^0, \dot{\xi}^1 + \eta) p_2(\dot{x}^1 + y, \xi^2) dy d\eta,$$

where

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{\chi}_0 = \tilde{\chi}_0(\eta; x^0, \xi^2) = \chi((\dot{\xi}^1 + \eta - \xi^2)/\langle \xi^2 \rangle)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi} &= \tilde{\psi}(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^2) = -\psi(x^0, \dot{x}^1 + y; \dot{\xi}^1 + \eta, \xi^2) \\ (2.18) \quad &= y \cdot \eta - (\phi_1(x^0, \dot{\xi}^1 + \eta) - x^1 \cdot \eta - \phi_1(x^0, \dot{\xi}^1)) - (\phi_2(\dot{x}^1 + y, \xi^2) \\ &\quad - y \cdot \dot{\xi}^1 - \phi_2(\dot{x}^1, \xi^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\dot{x}^1, \dot{\xi}^1)$  is the solution of (2.2), we have

$$(2.19) \quad |\dot{x}^1 - x^0| \leq \tau_1 \leq \frac{1}{8}, \quad |\dot{\xi}^1 - \xi^2| \leq \tau_2 \langle \xi^2 \rangle \leq \frac{1}{8} \langle \xi^2 \rangle.$$

By the definition of  $\tilde{\chi}_0$  we have

$$(2.20) \quad |\dot{\xi}^1 - \xi^2 + \eta| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi^2 \rangle \quad \text{on supp } \tilde{\chi}_0.$$

Hence, from (2.19) and (2.20) we have

$$(2.21) \quad |\dot{\xi}^1 + \theta\eta - \xi^2| \leq \theta |\dot{\xi}^1 + \eta - \xi^2| + (1 - \theta) |\dot{\xi}^1 - \xi^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi^2 \rangle \quad \text{on supp } \tilde{\chi}_0.$$

Consequently, using  $\langle \xi + \xi' \rangle \leq \langle \xi \rangle \pm |\xi'|$  ( $\xi, \xi' \in R^n$ ), we have

$$(2.22) \quad \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi^2 \rangle \leq \langle \dot{\xi}^1 + \theta\eta \rangle \leq 2 \langle \xi^2 \rangle \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq 1) \quad \text{on supp } \tilde{\chi}_0.$$

Now, using (2.2), we write

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi} = y - \int_0^1 \mathcal{V}_\xi \mathcal{V}_\xi J_1(x^0, \dot{\xi}^1 + \theta\eta) d\theta \cdot \eta, \\ \mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi} = \eta - \int_0^1 \mathcal{V}_x \mathcal{V}_x J_2(\dot{x}^1 + y, \xi^2) d\theta \cdot y. \end{cases}$$

Then, by (2.22) we have

$$\begin{cases} |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}| \geq |y| - 4\tau_1 |\eta| \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1} \geq |y| - \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1} |\eta|, \\ |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}| \geq |y| - 2\tau_2 |y| \langle \xi^2 \rangle \geq |y| - \frac{1}{4} \langle \xi^2 \rangle |y| \end{cases} \quad \text{on supp } \tilde{\chi}_0.$$

Hence, we have

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^2 |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{2} (\langle \xi^2 \rangle |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}| + |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}|)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \langle \xi^2 \rangle |y| + |\eta|^2 \geq \frac{1}{8} (\langle \xi^2 \rangle^2 |y|^2 + |\eta|^2) \end{aligned} \quad \text{on supp } \tilde{\chi}_0.$$

Set for any fixed  $\delta$  ( $0 < \delta < 1$ )

$$\chi'_\infty = 1 - \chi((\langle \xi^2 \rangle^2 |y|^2 + |\eta|^2) / (\delta \langle \xi^2 \rangle^\rho)^2).$$

Then, by (2.23) and the definition of  $\chi$  we have

$$(2.24) \quad (\langle \xi^2 \rangle |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}|^2) \geq \frac{\delta^2}{32} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{2\rho} \quad \text{on supp } \chi'_\infty \tilde{\chi}_0.$$

Hence, setting

$$T_3 = i(\langle \xi^2 \rangle^2 |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi}|^2)^{-1} (\langle \xi^2 \rangle^2 \mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi} \cdot \mathcal{V}_\eta + \mathcal{V}_\eta \tilde{\psi} \cdot \mathcal{V}_\eta),$$

we write for large  $l$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{0,\infty}(x^0, \xi^2) &\equiv O_s - \iint e^{-i\tilde{\psi}} \chi'_\infty \tilde{\chi}_0 p_1(x^0, \xi^1 + \eta) p_2(x^1 + y, \xi^2) dy d\eta \\
&= \iint e^{-i\tilde{\psi}} (T_3)^L \{\chi'_\infty \tilde{\chi}_0 p_1(x^0, \xi^1 + \eta) p_2(x^1 + y, \xi^2)\} dy d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, using (2.20)–(2.22), (2.24) and  $2\rho > 1$ , we see that

$$(2.25) \quad q_{0,\infty}(x^0, \xi^2) \in S^{-\infty} \quad \text{for any fixed } 0 < \delta < 1.$$

III) Setting

$$(2.26) \quad \tilde{\chi}'_0 = \tilde{\chi}'_0(y, \eta; \xi^2) = \chi((\langle \xi^2 \rangle^2 |y|^2 + |\eta|^2) / (\delta \langle \xi^2 \rangle^\rho)^2),$$

we consider

$$(2.27) \quad q'_0(x^0, \xi^2) = \iint e^{-i\tilde{\psi}} \tilde{\chi}'_0 \tilde{\chi}_0 p_1(x^0, \xi^1 + \eta) p_2(x^1 + y, \xi^2) dy d\eta.$$

We write by using (2.2)

$$(2.28) \quad \tilde{\psi} = y \cdot \eta - A\eta \cdot \eta - B y \cdot y,$$

where

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{cases} A = A(\eta; x^0, \xi^2) = \int_0^1 (1-\theta) \nabla_\xi \nabla_\xi J_1(x^0, \xi^1 + \theta\eta) d\theta, \\ B = B(y; x^0, \xi^2) = \int_0^1 (1-\theta) \nabla_x \nabla_x J_2(x^1 + \theta y, \xi^2) d\theta. \end{cases}$$

We define symmetric matrices

$$F = F(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^2) \quad \text{and} \quad G = G(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^2)$$

by

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{cases} F = A + FBF, \\ G = B + GAG, \end{cases}$$

and define the change of variables:  $(y, \eta) \rightarrow (z, \gamma)$  by

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{cases} y = z + F\gamma, \\ \eta = Gz + \gamma. \end{cases}$$

Then, noting that  $A$  and  $B$  are symmetric, we see that we can write

$$(2.32) \quad \tilde{\psi} = (I + FG - 2AG - 2FB)z \cdot \gamma,$$

where  $I$  is the unit matrix.

Now, we investigate the properties of  $F$  and  $G$ .

Set for a fixed  $(x^0, \xi^2)$

$$(2.33) \quad \Sigma = \left\{ p = (p_{jk}(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^2)); n \times n \text{ matrices of elements } p_{jk} \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. \|p(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^2)\| \equiv \sum_{j,k=1}^n |p_{jk}(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^2)| \leq \frac{1}{5} \right\}$$

for  $(y, \eta) \in A$  defined by

$$A = A(\xi^2, \delta) = \left\{ (y, \eta); (\langle \xi^2 \rangle^2 |y|^2 + |\eta|^2) \leq \frac{\delta^2}{2} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{2\rho} \right\},$$

and consider the mapping  $T: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  defined by

$$(2.34) \quad Tp = A \langle \xi^2 \rangle + p B \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1} p.$$

For small  $0 < \delta < 1$  we have

$$(2.35) \quad \|A\| \leq \frac{5}{4} \tau_1 \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1} \leq \frac{5}{32} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1}, \quad \|B\| \leq 2\tau_2 \langle \xi^2 \rangle \leq \frac{1}{4} \langle \xi^2 \rangle.$$

Hence, we have

$$(2.36) \quad \|Tp\| \leq \|A \langle \xi^2 \rangle\| + \|p\| \|B \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1}\| \|p\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \quad (p \in \Sigma),$$

and

$$(2.37) \quad \|Tp - Tp'\| \leq \|(p - p')B \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1}\| + \|p'B \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1}(p - p')\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{32} \|p - p'\| + \frac{1}{32} \|p - p'\| \leq \frac{1}{16} \|p - p'\| \quad (p, p' \in \Sigma).$$

This means that the mapping  $T: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  is into and contractive, so that we have a unique fixed point  $p_0 = p_0(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^2)$ .

Let  $D_\mu$  denote one of the differential operators  $\partial_{y^2}, \partial_\eta, \partial_{x^0}, \partial_{\xi^2}$ . Then, we have formally

$$D_\mu^k p_0 = D_\mu^k (A \langle \xi^2 \rangle) + (D_\mu^k p_0) (B \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1} p_0) + (p_0 B \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1}) D_\mu^k p_0 \\ + \sum_{\substack{k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = k \\ k_1 \neq k, k_3 \neq k}} D_\mu^{k_1} p_0 \cdot D_\mu^{k_2} (B \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1}) \cdot D_\mu^{k_3} p_0.$$

Using this we have by induction

$$(2.38) \quad \|\partial_y^\beta \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi^2}^{\alpha'} p_0\| \\ \leq C_{\alpha, \beta', \alpha, \beta'} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-|\alpha + \alpha'| + (1 - \rho)|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'|} \quad ((y, \eta) \in A).$$

Then, setting  $F = p_0 \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1}$  and  $G = p_0 \langle \xi^2 \rangle$ , we see that the equation (2.30) has the unique solution  $(F, G)$  such that

$$(2.39) \quad \|F\| \leq \frac{1}{5} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1}, \quad \|G\| \leq \frac{1}{5} \langle \xi^2 \rangle \quad ((y, \eta) \in \Lambda),$$

and

$$(2.40) \quad \begin{cases} \text{i)} & \|\partial_y^\beta \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi^2}^{\alpha'} F\| \\ & \leq C'_{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1 - |\alpha + \alpha'| + (1-\rho)|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'|}, \\ \text{ii)} & \|\partial_y^\beta \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi^2}^{\alpha'} G\| \\ & \leq C'_{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{1 - |\alpha + \alpha'| + (1-\rho)|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'|} \end{cases} \quad ((y, \eta) \in \Lambda).$$

From (2.31) we have

$$y - F\eta = z - FGz, \quad \eta - Gy = \gamma - GF\gamma.$$

So we have

$$(2.41) \quad \begin{cases} z = (I - FG)^{-1}(y - F\eta) = (y - F\eta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (FG)^k (y - F\eta), \\ \gamma = (I - GF)^{-1}(\eta - Gy) = (\eta - Gy) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (GF)^k (\eta - Gy). \end{cases}$$

From (2.39)–(2.41) we have

$$(2.42) \quad \begin{cases} \|\mathcal{V}_y z - I\| \leq \frac{5}{24} + \delta C, & \|\mathcal{V}_\eta z\| \leq \left(\frac{5}{24} + \delta C\right) \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-1}, \\ \|\mathcal{V}_\eta \gamma - I\| \leq \left(\frac{5}{24} + \delta C\right) \langle \xi^2 \rangle, & \|\mathcal{V}_y \gamma\| \leq \frac{5}{24} + \delta C \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.43) \quad \begin{cases} \|\partial_y^\beta \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi^2}^{\alpha'} z\| \\ \leq C''_{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-|\alpha + \alpha'| + (1-\rho)(|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'| - 1)}, \\ \|\partial_y^\beta \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_{x^0}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi^2}^{\alpha'} \gamma\| \\ \leq C''_{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{1 - |\alpha + \alpha'| + (1-\rho)(|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'| - 1)} \end{cases} \quad (|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'| \geq 1, (y, \eta) \in \Lambda),$$

where constants  $C, C''_{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'}$  are independent of  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

Now, set

$$(2.44) \quad \begin{cases} Z = Z(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^2) = (I + FG - 2AG - 2FB)z, \\ \Gamma = \Gamma(y, \eta; x^0, \xi^2) = \gamma \end{cases} \quad ((y, \eta) \in \Lambda).$$

Then, we have (2.42) for  $Z$  and  $\Gamma$  by replacing  $5/24$  by  $5/12$  and  $C$  by some constant

$C'$ , and have (2.43) for constants  $C''_{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'}$ . Hence, we have for a small fixed  $0 < \delta < 1$

$$(2.45) \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial(Z, \Gamma)}{\partial(y, \eta)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{6} \quad ((y, \eta) \in A(\xi^2, \delta)),$$

and

$$(2.46) \quad \begin{cases} \|\partial_y^\beta \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_{x_0}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi^2}^{\alpha'} Z\| \\ \leq C''_{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{-|\alpha + \alpha'| + (1-\rho)(|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'| - 1)}, \\ \|\partial_y^\beta \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_{x_0}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi^2}^{\alpha'} \Gamma\| \\ \leq C'_{\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'} \langle \xi^2 \rangle^{1 - |\alpha + \alpha'| + (1-\rho)(|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'| - 1)} \end{cases} \quad (|\alpha + \alpha' + \beta + \beta'| \geq 1, (y, \eta) \in A).$$

Then, noting  $(y, \eta) \in A$  in  $\text{supp } \tilde{\chi}'_0$ , we have by (2.32)

$$(2.47) \quad q'_0(x^0, \xi^2) = \iint e^{-iZ \cdot \Gamma} p'_0(Z, \Gamma; x^0, \xi^2) dZ d\Gamma,$$

where

$$(2.48) \quad \begin{aligned} & p'_0(Z, \Gamma; x^0, \xi^2) \\ &= \left\{ \tilde{\chi}_0 \tilde{\chi}'_0 p_1(x^0, \xi^1 + \eta) p_2(x^1 + y, \xi^2) \det \left( \frac{\partial(Z, \Gamma)}{\partial(y, \eta)} \right)^{-1} \right\}_{y=\eta(Z, \Gamma)}^{y=\eta(Z, \Gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

for the inverse  $(y, \eta) = (y, \eta)(Z, \Gamma)$  of  $(Z, \Gamma) = (Z, \Gamma)(y, \eta)$ .

IV) From the theory of the oscillatory integrals we have  $q'_0(x^0, \xi^2) \in S_{\rho}^{m_1 + m_2}$  and have the asymptotic expansion

$$(2.49) \quad q'_0(x^0, \xi^2) \sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D_Z^\alpha \partial_\Gamma^\alpha p'_0(0, 0; x^0, \xi^2).$$

Then, noting  $(y, \eta) = (0, 0)$  for  $(Z, \Gamma) = (0, 0)$ , we have

$$q'_0(x^0, \xi^2) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_j(x^0, \xi^2)$$

for  $q_j(x^0, \xi^2)$  of the form (2.6). Hence, from (2.14) and (2.25) the proof is complete  
Q.E.D.

*Remark.* For  $\tilde{\psi}_r = Z \cdot \Gamma$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{y_j} \partial_{y_k} \tilde{\psi}_r &= \partial_{y_j} Z \cdot \partial_{y_k} \Gamma + \partial_{y_k} Z \cdot \partial_{y_j} \Gamma, \\ \partial_{y_j} \partial_{\eta_k} \tilde{\psi}_r &= \partial_{y_j} Z \cdot \partial_{\eta_k} \Gamma + \partial_{\eta_k} Z \cdot \partial_{y_j} \Gamma, \\ \partial_{\eta_j} \partial_{\eta_k} \tilde{\psi}_r &= \partial_{\eta_j} Z \cdot \partial_{\eta_k} \Gamma + \partial_{\eta_k} Z \cdot \partial_{\eta_j} \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

at  $(y, \eta) = (0, 0)$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det \left( \frac{\partial(Z, \Gamma)}{\partial(y, \eta)} \right)^2 &= \det \left( \begin{pmatrix} {}^t(\partial_y Z) & {}^t(\partial_y \Gamma) \\ {}^t(\partial_\eta Z) & {}^t(\partial_\eta \Gamma) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_y Z & \partial_\eta Z \\ \partial_y \Gamma & \partial_\eta \Gamma \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
 (2.50) \quad &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_y \nabla_y \tilde{\gamma} & \nabla_y \nabla_\eta \tilde{\gamma} \\ \nabla_\eta \nabla_y \tilde{\gamma} & \nabla_\eta \nabla_\eta \tilde{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x \nabla_x \phi_2(\dot{x}^1, \dot{\xi}^2) & I \\ I & \nabla_\xi \nabla_\xi \phi_1(x^0, \dot{\xi}^1) \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

at  $(y, \eta) = (0, 0)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $p_j \in S_\rho^{m_j}$  and  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{P}_\rho(\tau_j)$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots$ ). Assume that  $\bar{M}_\infty \equiv \sum_{j=1}^\infty |m_j| < \infty$ ,  $\bar{\tau}_\infty \equiv \sum_{j=1}^\infty \tau_j \leq \tau_0/(8c_0)$  with a constant  $\tau_0$  in Theorem 1.4 and a constant  $c_0$  of Theorem 1.5, and  $\{J_j/\tau_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a bounded set in  $S_\rho^1((2))$ . Set  $\Phi_1 = \phi_1$  and  $\Phi_\nu = \phi_1 \# \dots \# \phi_\nu$  ( $\nu \geq 2$ ).

Define

$$q_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \in S_\rho^{\bar{m}_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)\bar{j}_\nu} \quad (\bar{m}_{\nu+1} = m_1 + \dots + m_{\nu+1}, \bar{j}_\nu = j_1 + \dots + j_\nu),$$

inductively, by

$$(2.51) \quad q_{j_1}(x^0, \xi^2) = \sum_{|\alpha^1 + \beta^1| \leq 2j_1} \gamma_{j_1, \alpha^1, \beta^1}(x^0, \xi^2; \Phi_1, \phi_2) p_1^{(\alpha^1)}(x^0, \Xi^1) p_{2(\beta^1)}(X_1^1, \xi^2),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.52) \quad q_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) &= \sum_{|\alpha^\nu + \beta^\nu| \leq 2j_\nu} \gamma_{j_\nu, \alpha^\nu, \beta^\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}; \Phi_\nu, \phi_{\nu+1}) \\
 &\quad \times q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu-1}}^{(\alpha^\nu)}(x^0, \Xi^\nu) p_{\nu+1(\beta^\nu)}(X_\nu^\nu, \xi^{\nu+1}) \quad (\nu \geq 2),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_{j_\nu, \alpha^\nu, \beta^\nu} \in S_\rho^{-(2\rho-1)j_\nu + |\alpha^\nu| - (1-\rho)|\alpha^\nu + \beta^\nu|}$  are symbols defined by (2.6) of Theorem 2.3 corresponding to  $\Phi_\nu$  and  $\phi_{\nu+1}$ , and  $\{X_\nu^j, \Xi_\nu^j\}_{j=1}^\nu(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})$  is the solution of (1.4). Then, for any  $N$  and  $l$  there exist an integer  $l'$  and a constant  $C_{N,l}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.53) \quad |q_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}|_{l'(\bar{m}_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)\bar{j}_\nu)} &\leq C_{N,l} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1} |p_j|_{l'(\bar{m}_j)} \quad (\bar{j}_\nu \leq N, \nu = 1, 2, \dots).
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* I) First we prove that the right hand sides of (2.51) and (2.52) can be written as the sum of terms of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.54) \quad I_\nu(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) &\equiv \gamma_{j_1, \alpha^1, \beta^1}^{(\zeta^1)}(x^0, \Xi^0) \gamma_{j_2, \alpha^2, \beta^2}^{(\zeta^2)}(x^0, \Xi^1) \dots \\
 &\quad \times \gamma_{j_{\nu-1}, \alpha^{\nu-1}, \beta^{\nu-1}}^{(\zeta^{\nu-1})}(x^0, \Xi^{\nu-1}) \gamma_{j_\nu, \alpha^\nu, \beta^\nu}^{(\zeta^\nu)}(x^0, \Xi^\nu) \\
 &\quad \times p_1^{(\alpha^1 + \beta^1)}(x^0, \Xi^1) p_{2(\beta^1 + \delta^1)}^{(\mu^2)}(X_1^1, \Xi^2) \dots \\
 &\quad \times p_{\nu(\beta^{\nu-1} + \delta^{\nu-1})}^{(\mu^\nu)}(X_{\nu-1}^{\nu-1}, \Xi^{\nu-1}) p_{\nu+1(\beta^\nu)}(X_\nu^\nu, \Xi^\nu) \\
 &\quad \times E_{\kappa_1, \sigma_1}^{r_1(\theta^1)} \dots E_{\kappa_s, \sigma_s}^{r_s(\theta^s)} X_{\kappa'_1, \sigma'_1}^{r'_1(\omega^1)} \dots X_{\kappa'_t, \sigma'_t}^{r'_t(\omega^t)} \\
 &\quad (\kappa_j, \kappa'_j \in \{1, \dots, \nu-1\}, 1 \leq j \leq \nu, 1 \leq j' \leq \kappa'_j, 1 \leq \sigma_j \leq n, 1 \leq \sigma'_j \leq n),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.55) \quad \begin{cases} \text{i)} & |\alpha^k + \beta^k| \leq 2j_k \quad (k=1, \dots, \nu), \\ \text{ii)} & |\zeta^1 + \dots + \zeta^{\nu-1}| + |\mu^1 + \dots + \mu^\nu| + |\delta^1 + \dots + \delta^{\nu-1}| \\ & + (|\theta^1 + \dots + \theta^s| - s) + (|\omega^1 + \dots + \omega^t| - t) \\ & = |\alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^\nu|, \\ \text{iii)} & |\theta^k| \geq 1 \quad (k=1, \dots, s), |\omega^l| \geq 1 \quad (l=1, \dots, t), \\ \text{iv)} & s \leq |\alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^\nu|, t = |\delta^1 + \dots + \delta^{\nu-1}| \leq |\alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^\nu|. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\nu=1$ . Then, (2.54) has the form

$$I_1(x^0, \xi^2) = \gamma_{j_1, \alpha^1, \beta^1}(x^0, \xi^2) p_1^{(\alpha^1 + \mu^1)}(x^0, \xi^1) p_{2(\beta^1)}(X_1^1, \xi^2),$$

and  $|\mu^1|=0$  by (2.55)-ii). Hence, we see that the right hand side of (2.51) can be written as the sum of terms of (2.54) for  $\nu=1$ .

Now, assume that the statement is true for  $\nu$ . Then, for  $\nu+1$  the right hand side of (2.52) can be written as the sum of terms

$$J_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \equiv \gamma_{j_{\nu+1}, \alpha^{\nu+1}, \beta^{\nu+1}}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) I^{(\alpha^{\nu+1})}(x^0, \tilde{\xi}_{\nu+1}) p_{\nu+2(\beta^{\nu+1})}(\tilde{X}_{\nu+1}, \xi^{\nu+2}),$$

where  $\{\tilde{X}_{\nu+1}, \tilde{\xi}_{\nu+1}\}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2})$  is defined by

$$(2.56) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{X}_{\nu+1} = \mathcal{V}_{\xi} \Phi_{\nu+1}(x^0, \tilde{\xi}_{\nu+1}), \\ \tilde{\xi}_{\nu+1} = \mathcal{V}_x \phi_{\nu+2}(\tilde{X}_{\nu+1}, \xi^{\nu+2}). \end{cases}$$

Then, by Theorem 1.6 we have

$$(2.57) \quad \begin{cases} \{\tilde{X}_{\nu+1}, \tilde{\xi}_{\nu+1}\}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2}) = \{X_{\nu+1}^{\nu+1}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu+1}^{\nu+1}\}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2}), \\ \{X_{\nu}^j, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu}(x^0, \tilde{\xi}_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2})) = \{X_{\nu+1}^j, \mathcal{E}_{\nu+1}^j\}_{j=1}^{\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2}). \end{cases}$$

By (2.54) we write

$$(2.58) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2}) & \equiv \gamma_{j_{\nu+1}, \alpha^{\nu+1}, \beta^{\nu+1}}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2}) \partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^{\alpha^{\nu+1}} \{ \gamma_{j_1, \alpha^1, \beta^1}^{(\zeta^1)}(x^0, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^2) \dots \\ & \times \gamma_{j_{\nu-1}, \alpha^{\nu-1}, \beta^{\nu-1}}^{(\zeta^{\nu-1})}(x^0, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{\nu}) \gamma_{j_{\nu}, \alpha^{\nu}, \beta^{\nu}}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) p_1^{(\alpha^1 + \mu^1)}(x^0, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^1) \dots \\ & \times p_{\nu(\beta^{\nu-1} + \delta^{\nu-1})}^{(\mu^{\nu})}(X_{\nu}^{\nu-1}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{\nu}) p_{\nu+1(\beta^{\nu})}(X_{\nu}^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu+1}) \mathcal{E}_{\kappa_1, \sigma_1}^{r_1(\theta^1)} \dots \\ & \times \mathcal{E}_{\kappa_s, \sigma_s}^{r_s(\theta^s)} X_{\kappa'_1, \sigma'_1}^{r'_1(\omega^1)} \dots X_{\kappa'_t, \sigma'_t}^{r'_t(\omega^t)} \} |_{\xi^{\nu+1} = \tilde{\xi}_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2})} \\ & \times p_{\nu+2(\beta^{\nu+1})}(\tilde{X}_{\nu+1}, \xi^{\nu+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since, for example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{V}_{\xi^{\nu+1}} p_{\kappa(\beta^{\nu+1} - 1 + \delta^{\nu+1})}^{(\mu^{\nu+1})}(X_{\nu}^{k-1}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^k) \\ & = (\mathcal{V}_{\xi} p_{\kappa(\beta^{\nu+1} - 1 + \delta^{\nu+1})}^{(\mu^{\nu+1})})(X_{\nu}^{k-1}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^k) \mathcal{V}_{\xi^{\nu+1}} \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{k-1} \\ & \quad + (\mathcal{V}_x p_{\kappa(\beta^{\nu+1} - 1 + \delta^{\nu+1})}^{(\mu^{\nu+1})})(X_{\nu}^{k-1}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^k) \mathcal{V}_{\xi^{\nu+1}} X_{\nu}^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

using (2.57) we see that  $J_{\nu+1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2})$  can be written as the sum of terms (2.54) with the relation (2.55) for  $\nu+1$ . Hence, we see that the same statement holds for  $q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+2})$ .

II) By Theorem 1.4' and Theorem 2.3 we have

$$(2.59) \quad \begin{cases} V_{\xi^{\nu+1}} X_{\nu}^k(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \in S_{\rho}^{-1}, & V_{\xi^{\nu+1}} \Xi_{\nu}^k(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \in S_{\rho}^0, \\ \gamma_{j_k, \alpha^k, \beta^k}^{(\zeta^k)}(x^0, \Xi_{\nu}^k(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})) \in S_{\rho}^{-(2\rho-1)j_k + |\alpha^k| - (1-\rho)|\alpha^k + \beta^k| - \rho\zeta^k}, \\ p_{k(\beta^k-1+\delta^k-1)}^{(\mu^k)}(X_{\nu}^{k-1}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}), \Xi_{\nu}^k(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})) \in S_{\rho}^{m_k - \rho|\mu^k| + (1-\rho)|\beta^k-1+\delta^k-1|}, \end{cases}$$

and these symbols are bounded in the corresponding spaces with respect to  $\nu$ ,  $k \leq \nu$  and  $\bar{j}_{\nu} \leq N$  for any fixed  $N$ . Hence, together with the relation (2.55) we see that

$$(2.60) \quad I_{\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \in S_{\rho}^{m_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)\bar{j}_{\nu}}$$

and for any integer  $N$  and  $l$  we have for an integer  $l'$  and a constant  $C_{N,l}$  independent of  $\nu$

$$(2.61) \quad |I_{\nu}|_{l}^{(m_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)\bar{j}_{\nu})} \leq C_{N,l}^{\nu} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu+1} |p_j|_{l'}^{(m_j)} \quad (\bar{j}_{\nu} \leq N).$$

III) We fix an integer  $N > 0$  and consider the number of the terms  $I_{\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})$  of (2.54) for  $q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu}}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1})$  when  $\bar{j}_{\nu} \leq N$ . We note that in (2.54)

$$(2.62) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{"the number of } \{\gamma_{j_k, \alpha^k, \beta^k}, p_k, \Xi_{\nu}^k, X_{\nu}^k\} \text{"} \\ & \leq \nu + (\nu+1) + s + t \leq 2\nu + |\alpha^2| + \dots + \alpha^{\nu} + 1 \\ & \leq 2\nu + 2\bar{j}_{\nu} + 1 \leq 2(\nu + N) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

In (2.51), using  $|\alpha^1 + \beta^1| \leq 2j_1$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{"the number of terms } I_1(x^0, \xi^2) \text{ of (2.54) for } q_{j_1}(x^0, \xi^2) \text{"} \\ & \leq (n+1)^{2j_1} \times (n+1)^{2j_1} = (n+1)^{4j_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, in (2.52) for  $\nu=2$ , using  $|\alpha^2 + \beta^2| \leq 2j_2$  and (2.62) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{"the number of terms } I_2(x^0, \xi^3) \text{ of (2.55) for } q_{j_1, j_2}(x^0, \xi^3) \text{"} \\ & \leq (n+1)^{4j_1} \times (n+1)^{4j_2} \times (2(\nu+N)+1)^{2j_2} \\ & \leq 4^{\bar{j}_2} (n+1)^{4\bar{j}_2} (\nu+N+1)^{2\bar{j}_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in (2.52) for the general  $\nu$  we have

$$(2.63) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{"the number of terms } I_{\nu}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \text{ of (2.55) for } q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu}}(x^0, \xi^{\nu+1}) \text{"} \\ & \leq 4^{\bar{j}_{\nu}} (n+1)^{4\bar{j}_{\nu}} (\nu+N+1)^{2\bar{j}_{\nu}} \\ & \leq 4^N (n+1)^{4N} (\nu+N+1)^{2N} \leq M_N C_N^{\nu} \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots) \text{ for } \bar{j}_{\nu} \leq N \end{aligned}$$

for constants  $M_N$  and  $C_N$ . Consequently, from (2.61) and (2.63) we get (2.53).

Q.E.D.

Now, let  $\psi(\xi)$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function such that  $\psi(\xi)=0$  ( $|\xi|\leq 1$ ),  $=1$  ( $|\xi|\geq 2$ ), and set

$$(2.64) \quad q_{\nu,j,\varepsilon}(x, \xi) = \psi(\varepsilon^j \xi) \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_\nu=j} q_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}(x, \xi) \quad (0 < \varepsilon \leq 1).$$

Then, by Theorem 2.4 we have for constants  $C_{j,\alpha,\beta}$  independent of  $\nu$  and  $\varepsilon$

$$(2.65) \quad \begin{aligned} & |q_{\nu,j,\varepsilon(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \\ & \leq C_{j,\alpha,\beta} \max_{j_1+\dots+j_\nu=j} |q_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu}^{(\langle m_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)j \rangle_{|\alpha+\beta|})}| \\ & \quad \times \langle \xi \rangle^{m_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)j - |\alpha| + (1-\rho)|\alpha+\beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $0 < \varepsilon_j \leq 1$  ( $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ ) such that  $C_{j,\alpha,\beta} A_j^0 \varepsilon_j \leq 2^{-j}$  for  $|\alpha+\beta| \leq j$  and for a large fixed  $A_j^0 > 0$  determined later, and define  $\tilde{q}_\nu(x, \xi)$  by

$$(2.66) \quad \tilde{q}_\nu(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{\nu,j,\varepsilon_j}(x, \xi).$$

Then, we have

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $p_j \in S_{\rho}^{m_j}$  and  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho}(\tau_j)$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, \nu+1, \dots$ ). Assume that*

$$\bar{M}_{\infty} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |m_j| < \infty, \quad \bar{\tau}_{\infty} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \leq \tau_0 / (8C_0)$$

with a constant  $\tau_0$  of Theorem 1.4 and  $C_0$  of Theorem 1.5, and  $\{J_j/\tau_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a bounded set in  $S_{\rho}^1((2))$ .

Moreover, assume that for any  $l$  there exists a constant  $A_l$  such that

$$(2.67) \quad |p_j|_l^{(m_j)} \leq A_l \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, \nu+1, \dots).$$

Then we have for a constant  $C_l$  independent of  $\nu$

$$(2.68) \quad |\tilde{q}_\nu|_l^{(m_{\nu+1})} \leq C_l^\nu \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $\tilde{q}_\nu(x, \xi)$  are defined by (2.66) for  $A_j^0$  determined by the finite number of  $\{A_l\}$  of (2.67). Furthermore, set

$$(2.69) \quad R_\nu = P_{1,\phi_1} P_{2,\phi_2} \cdots P_{\nu+1,\phi_{\nu+1}} - \tilde{Q}_{\nu,\phi_{\nu+1}}.$$

Then,  $R_\nu: H_{-\infty} \rightarrow H_{-\infty}$  ( $H_{-\infty} = \bigcup_{\sigma} H_{\sigma}$ ) is a smoothing operator in the sense: For any  $\sigma$  and  $N$  we have for a constant  $C_{\sigma,N}$  independent of  $\nu$

$$(2.70) \quad \|R_\nu\|_{H_{\sigma} \rightarrow H_{\sigma+N}} \leq C_{\sigma,N}^\nu,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{H_\sigma \rightarrow H_{\sigma+N}}$  denotes the operator norm of the mapping:  $H_\sigma \rightarrow H_{\sigma+N}$ .

*Proof.* First we note that, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_\rho(\tau)$  and  $p \in S_\rho^m$  ( $1/2 < \rho \leq 1$ ), we have for any  $\sigma$

$$(2.71) \quad \|P_\phi u\|_\sigma \leq C \|p\|_l^{(m)} \|u\|_{\sigma+m} \quad (u \in H_{\sigma+m}),$$

where  $C$  and  $l$  are constants depending on  $\sigma$ ,  $m$  and  $\|J\|_{l'}$  for some  $l'$ . This fact is proved by the same method with the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [8].

For a fixed  $N$  set  $\tilde{q}_{\nu,N}(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=N}^\infty q_{\nu,j,\epsilon_j}(x, \xi)$ . Then, using (2.53) of Theorem 2.4 and (2.67), we have by (2.65)

$$(2.72) \quad \|\tilde{q}_{\nu,N}\|_l^{(m_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)N)} \leq C_{N,l}^\nu$$

for a constant  $C_{N,l}$  independent of  $\nu$ , if we choose  $A_j^0$  sufficiently large according to  $\{A_l\}$  of (2.67).

For a given  $N_0$  we take an integer  $N$  such that  $\bar{m}_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)N \leq -N_0$ , and write  $R_\nu$  in the form

$$(2.73) \quad \begin{aligned} R_\nu &= \left( P_{1,\phi_1} P_{2,\phi_2} - \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} Q_{j_1; \phi_2} \right) P_{3,\phi_3} \cdots P_{\nu+1,\phi_{\nu+1}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu-2} \left\{ \sum_{\bar{j}_k < N} \left( Q_{j_1, \dots, j_k; \phi_{k+1}} P_{k+2, \phi_{k+2}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \sum_{\bar{j}_{k+1}=0}^{N-\bar{j}_k-1} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}; \phi_{k+2}} \right) P_{k+3, \phi_{k+3}} \cdots P_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}} \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\bar{j}_{\nu-1} < N} \left( Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu-1}; \phi_\nu} P_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j_\nu=0}^{N-\bar{j}_{\nu-1}-1} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu; \phi_{\nu+1}} \right) + \left( \sum_{\bar{j}_\nu < N} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu; \phi_{\nu+1}} - \tilde{Q}_{\nu, \phi_{\nu+1}} \right) \\ &\equiv R_{\nu,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu-2} R_{\nu,k} + R_{\nu,\nu-1} + \tilde{R}_{\nu,\nu} \quad (\bar{j}_k = j_1 + \cdots + j_k). \end{aligned}$$

We first note that for any fixed  $\sigma$  the operator-norms for

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k,\phi_k} &: H_{\sigma - \bar{m}_{k+1}} \rightarrow H_{\sigma - \bar{m}_k} \\ (\bar{m}_k &= m_k + \cdots + m_{\nu+1}, \bar{m}_{\nu+2} = 0, k = \nu+1, \dots, 2) \end{aligned}$$

are bounded with respect to  $k = \nu+1, \dots, 2$ , since  $\bar{M}_\infty \equiv \sum_{j=1}^\infty |m_j| < \infty$  and  $\{\|p_j\|_l^{(m_j)}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is bounded for any  $l$ . Hence, we have for a constant  $C_1$

$$(2.74) \quad \begin{aligned} \|R_{\nu,0}\|_{H_\sigma \rightarrow H_{\sigma+N_0}} &\leq C_1^\nu \left\| P_{1,\phi_1} P_{2,\phi_2} - \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} Q_{j_1; \phi_2} \right\|_{H_{\sigma - \bar{m}_3} \rightarrow H_{\sigma+N_0}}, \\ \|R_{\nu,k}\|_{H_\sigma \rightarrow H_{\sigma+N_0}} &\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.74) \quad & \leq C_1^{\nu-k-1} \sum_{j_k < N} \left\| Q_{j_1, \dots, j_k; \phi_{k+1}} P_{k+2, \phi_{k+2}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sum_{j_{k+1}=0}^{N-j_k-1} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}; \phi_{k+2}} \right\|_{H_{\sigma - \bar{m}_{k+3} \rightarrow H_{\sigma} + N_0}} \\
& \quad (k=1, \dots, \nu-1, \bar{m}_{\nu+2}=0).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we prove for a constant  $C_{N, \sigma}$

$$(2.75) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{i)} \quad \left\| P_{1, \phi_1} P_{2, \phi_2} - \sum_{j_1=0}^{N-1} Q_{j_1; \phi_2} \right\|_{H_{\sigma - \bar{m}_3 \rightarrow H_{\sigma} + N_0}} \leq C_{N, \sigma}, \\ \text{ii)} \quad \left\| Q_{j_1, \dots, j_k; \phi_{k+1}} P_{k+2, \phi_{k+2}} - \sum_{j_{k+1}=0}^{N-j_k-1} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}; \phi_{k+2}} \right\|_{H_{\sigma - \bar{m}_{k+3} \rightarrow H_{\sigma} + N_0}} \\ \quad \leq C_{N, \sigma}^{\nu} \quad (\nu=2, 3, \dots, k=1, \dots, \nu-1), \\ \text{iii)} \quad \left\| \sum_{j_{\nu} < N} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu}; \phi_{\nu+1}} - \tilde{Q}_{\nu; \phi_{\nu+1}} \right\|_{H_{\sigma \rightarrow H_{\sigma} + N_0}} \leq C_{N, \sigma}^{\nu} \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots), \end{array} \right.$$

then, we get (2.70).

Using Theorem 2.3, (2.53) of Theorem 2.4 and (2.71), and noting  $\bar{m}_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)N \leq -N_0$ , we get (2.75)-i), ii). Now, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j_{\nu} < N} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu}; \phi_{\nu+1}} - \tilde{Q}_{\nu; \phi_{\nu+1}} \\
& = \left\{ \sum_{j_{\nu} < N} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu}; \phi_{\nu+1}} - (\tilde{Q}_{\nu; \phi_{\nu+1}} - \tilde{Q}_{\nu, N; \phi_{\nu+1}}) \right\} + \tilde{Q}_{\nu, N; \phi_{\nu+1}} \\
& \equiv \tilde{R}_{\nu, N, \phi_{\nu+1}} + \tilde{R}'_{\nu, N, \phi_{\nu+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, since

$$\sigma(\tilde{Q}_{\nu; \phi_{\nu+1}}) - \sigma(\tilde{Q}_{\nu, N; \phi_{\nu+1}}) = \sum_{j_{\nu} < N} \psi(\varepsilon_{j_{\nu}}^{\nu} \xi) q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu}}(x, \xi),$$

we have

$$\sigma(\tilde{R}_{\nu, N, \phi_{\nu+1}}) = \sum_{j_{\nu} < N} (1 - \psi(\varepsilon_{j_{\nu}}^{\nu} \xi)) q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu}}(x, \xi).$$

Then, we see that for a constant  $M_N$  independent of  $\nu$

$$\sigma(\tilde{R}_{\nu, N, \phi_{\nu+1}}) = 0 \quad (|\xi| \geq M_N^{\nu}).$$

Hence, there exists a constant  $C'_{N, l}$  such that

$$|\sigma(\tilde{R}_{\nu, N, \phi_{\nu+1}})|_l^{(\bar{m}_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)N)} \leq C'_{N, l}{}^{\nu}.$$

So, by (2.71) we have for a constant  $C''_{N, \sigma}$

$$(2.76) \quad \|\tilde{R}_{\nu, N, \phi_{\nu+1}} u\|_{\sigma - (\bar{m}_{\nu+1} - (2\rho-1)N)} \leq C''_{N, \sigma}{}^{\nu} \|u\|_{\sigma}.$$

On the other hand by (2.72) we have (2.76) for  $\tilde{R}'_{\nu, N, \phi_{\nu+1}}$ . Hence, noting  $\bar{m}_{\nu+1} - (2\rho - 1)N \leq -N_0$ , we get (2.75)-iii). Thus, from (2.74) and (2.75) we get (2.70).

Q.E.D.

### § 3. Fundamental solution of a hyperbolic system

Consider a hyperbolic system

$$(3.1) \quad L = D_t + \mathcal{D}(t) + B(t) \quad \text{on } [0, T] \quad (T > 0),$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{D}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(t, X, D_x) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_l(t, X, D_x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$(\lambda_j(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}^\infty([0, T]; S^1), \text{ real valued}),$

and

$$(3.3) \quad B(t) = (b_{jk}(t, X, D_x)_{\substack{j=1, \dots, l \\ k=1, \dots, l}})$$

$(b_{jk}(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}^\infty([0, T]; S^0)).$

We also assume that for a constant  $M > 0$  we have

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda_j(t, x, \delta\xi) = \delta\lambda_j(t, x, \xi) \quad (|\xi| \geq M, \delta \geq 1).$$

Let  $\phi_j(t, s) = \phi_j(t, s; x, \xi)$  be the solutions of the eiconal equations

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \phi_j + \lambda_j(t, x, \nabla_x \phi_j) = 0 \text{ on } [0, T], \\ \phi_j|_{t=s} = x \cdot \xi, \end{cases}$$

and set

$$(3.6) \quad I_\phi(t, s) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\phi_1}(t, s) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & I_{\phi_l}(t, s) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I_{\phi_j}(t, s)$  are Fourier integral operators with phase functions  $\phi_j(t, s; x, \xi)$  and symbol 1. Then, we have by [8]

$$(3.7) \quad LI_\phi(t, s) = R_\phi(t, s),$$

where  $R_\phi(t, s) = \sum_{j=1}^l R_{j, \phi_j}(t, s)$  is a matrix of Fourier integral operators with phase functions  $\phi_j(t, s)$  and symbols  $r_j(t, s; x \cdot \xi)$  of class  $\mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta; S^0)$  ( $\Delta = \{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ ). Hence, the fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  for  $L$ , as the continuous operator from the Sobolev space  $H_\sigma$  into itself for any fixed real  $\sigma$ , is constructed in the form:

$$(3.8) \quad E(t, s) = I_\phi(t, s) + \int_s^t I_\phi(t, \theta) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} W_\nu(\theta, s) d\theta.$$

Here,  $\{W_\nu(t, s)\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$  are defined by

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} W_1(t, s) = -iR_\phi(t, s), \\ W_{\nu+1}(t, s) = \int_s^t W_1(t, \theta) W_\nu(\theta, s) d\theta \\ (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, \text{c.f., [8] and [9]}). \end{cases}$$

We note that  $W_{\nu+1}(t, s)$  can be written in the form

$$(3.10) \quad W_{\nu+1}(t, s) = \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{\nu-1}} W^{(\nu+1)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_\nu \\ (W^{(\nu+1)} = W_1(t, t_1) W_1(t_1, t_2) \dots W_1(t_\nu, s), t_0 = t),$$

and that  $W^{(\nu+1)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s)$  has the form

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & W^{(\nu+1)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}=1}^l (-i)^{\nu+1} R_{j_1, \phi_{j_1}}(t, t_1) \dots R_{j_{\nu+1}, \phi_{j_{\nu+1}}}(t_\nu, s). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1.7 and Theorem 1.5 we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} \phi_{j_k}(t_{k-1}, t_k) \in \mathcal{P}_1(c_0(t_{k-1} - t_k)) & (t_0 = t, t_{\nu+1} = s), \\ \Phi_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) \\ \quad = \phi_{j_1}(t, t_1) \# \dots \# \phi_{j_{\nu+1}}(t_\nu, s) \in \mathcal{P}_1(c_1(t - s)) & (0 \leq s \leq t \leq T) \end{cases}$$

for a small constant  $T > 0$  and constants  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$  (see also Theorem 1.5'). Then, by Theorem 2.5 we can find the Fourier integral operators

$$W_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\nu+1}, \phi_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s)$$

with phase functions

$$\Phi_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) = \phi_{j_1}(t, t_1) \# \phi_{j_2}(t_1, t_2) \# \dots \# \phi_{j_{\nu+1}}(t_\nu, s)$$

and symbols  $W_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s)$  of class  $\mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta_\nu; S^0)$  ( $\Delta_\nu = \{0 \leq s \leq t_\nu \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq t \leq T\}$ ), such that for any  $k$  and  $l$  we have semi-norm estimates

$$(3.13) \quad \|\partial_h^k W_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s)\|_l^{(0)} \leq C_{k, l}^\nu \\ (t = (t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu), k = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_\nu), \nu = 1, 2, \dots)$$

for a constant  $C_{k, l}$  independent of  $\nu$ , and for any  $k$ , real  $\sigma$  and integer  $N > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad & \|\partial_t^k \{R_{j_1, \phi_1}(t, t_1) R_{j_2, \phi_2}(t_1, t_2) \cdots R_{j_{\nu+1}, \phi_{j_{\nu+1}}}(t_\nu, s) \\
& - W_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}, \phi_{j_1}, \dots, \phi_{j_{\nu+1}}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s)\} \|_{H_{\sigma \rightarrow H_{\sigma+N}}} \\
& \leq C_{k, \sigma, N}^\nu
\end{aligned}$$

for a constant  $C_{k, \sigma, N}$  independent of  $\nu$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad & W_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) \\
& = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}=1}^l (-i)^{\nu+1} W_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}; \phi_{j_1}, \dots, \phi_{j_{\nu+1}}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & W_{-\infty}(t, s) \\
& = \int_s^t I_\phi(t, \theta) \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \int_s^\theta \int_s^{t_1} \cdots \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdots \int_s^{t_{\nu-1}} \{W^{(\nu+1)} - W_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}}\}(\theta, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) dt_1 \cdots dt_\nu \right] d\theta \quad (t_0 = \theta).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

**Theorem 3.1.** *The fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  for  $L$  can be represented in the form*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & E(t, s) = I_\phi(t, s) + \int_s^t I_\phi(t, \theta) \left\{ W_1(\theta, s) \right. \\
& \quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \int_s^\theta \int_s^{t_1} \cdots \int_s^{t_{\nu-1}} W_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}}(\theta, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) dt_1 \cdots dt_\nu \Big\} d\theta \\
& \quad + W_{-\infty}(t, s) \quad (t_0 = \theta, W_{-\infty}(t, s) \in \mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta; S^{-\infty}), \Delta = \{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $W_{-\infty}(t, s)$  is defined by (3.16).

For the proof we have only to prove that the operator  $W_{-\infty}(t, s)$  of (3.16) belongs to  $\mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta; S^{-\infty})$ . For that purpose we prepare the following

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $P = p(X, D_x)$  be of class  $S_{0, \delta}^m$  ( $\delta$  may be bigger than 1), and assume that  $P$  is a smoothing operator:  $H_{-\infty} \rightarrow H_\infty$  in the sense: For any  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\sigma' \geq 0$  there exists a constant  $C_{\sigma, \sigma'}$  such that*

$$(3.18) \quad \|Pu\|_\sigma \leq C_{\sigma, \sigma'} \|u\|_{-\sigma'} \quad (u \in \mathcal{S}).$$

Then,  $P = p(X, D_x)$  belongs to  $S^{-\infty}$  and for any  $m' \leq m$  and  $l$  we have

$$(3.19) \quad |p|_l^{(m')} \leq C_{m', l} |p|_{l+1}^{(m)}$$

for a constant  $C_{m', l}$  depending on  $C_{\sigma, \sigma'}$ .

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* Choosing  $\sigma > n/2$ ,  $\sigma' = 1, 2, \dots$  and using Sobolev's lemma, we have

$$\|Pu(x)\| \leq C_k \|u\|_{-k} \quad (u \in \mathcal{S}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, we have

$$(3.20) \quad \left| \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq C_k \left\{ \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2k} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right\}^{1/2}$$

for the Fourier transform  $\hat{u}(\xi)$  of  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Now, for fixed  $(x^0, \xi^0)$  ( $|\xi^0| \geq 1$ ) and  $l > 0$  set  $\Omega = \{\xi; |\xi - \xi^0| \leq \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-l}\}$  and choose  $\hat{u}_j(\xi) \in C_0^\infty$  in  $\{\xi; |\xi - \xi^0| < 2\langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-l}\}$  such that

$$\hat{u}_j(\xi) \rightarrow \chi_\Omega(\xi) \frac{|p|}{p} e^{-ix^0 \cdot \xi} \quad \text{in } L_2(R_\xi^n) \quad (j \rightarrow \infty),$$

where  $\chi_\Omega(\xi)$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\Omega$ . Then, by (3.20) we have

$$(3.21) \quad \int_\Omega |p(x^0, \xi)| d\xi \leq C_k \left\{ \int_\Omega \langle \xi \rangle^{-2k} d\xi \right\}^{1/2} \leq C'_k \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-k - nl/2}.$$

On the other hand since  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{0, \delta}^m$ , we have

$$|p(x^0, \xi^0)| \leq |p(x^0, \xi)| + C \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-l+m} \quad \text{for } \xi \in \Omega.$$

So, using (3.21) we have

$$|p(x^0, \xi^0)| \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-nl} \leq C''_k \{ \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-(1+n)l+m} + \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-k-nl/2} \}.$$

Hence, we have

$$|p(x^0, \xi^0)| \leq C''_k \{ \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-l+m} + \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-k+nl/2} \},$$

and setting  $k = (1 + n/2)l$  we have

$$(3.22) \quad |p(x^0, \xi^0)| \leq 2C''_k \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-l+|m|} \quad \text{for any } l \quad (k = (1 + n/2)l).$$

For  $p^{(j)} = \partial_{\xi_j} p$  and  $p_{(j)} = D_{x_j} p$  we use the interpolation inequalities:

$$|p^{(j)}(x^0, \xi^0)|^2 \leq C_0 \max_{|\xi - \xi^0| \leq 1} |p(x^0, \xi)| \left\{ \max_{|\xi - \xi^0| \leq 1} |p(x^0, \xi)| + \max_{|\xi - \xi^0| \leq 1} \max_{|\alpha|=2} |p^{(\alpha)}(x^0, \xi)| \right\},$$

and

$$|p_{(j)}(x^0, \xi^0)|^2 \leq C_0 \max_{|x - x^0| \leq 1} |p(x, \xi^0)| \left\{ \max_{|x - x^0| \leq 1} |p(x, \xi^0)| + \max_{|x - x^0| \leq 1} \max_{|\beta|=2} |p_{(\beta)}(x, \xi^0)| \right\}$$

for a constant  $C_0$ . Then, using (3.22) and noting  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{0, \delta}^m$  we have

$$|p^{(j)}(x^0, \xi^0)| + |p_{(j)}(x^0, \xi^0)| \leq C'' \langle \xi^0 \rangle^{-l}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Repeating this we get (3.19).

Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Set

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{E}(t, s) = & I_\phi(t, s) + \int_s^t I_\phi(t, \theta) \left\{ W_1(\theta, s) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int_s^\theta \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{\nu-1}} W_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}}(\theta, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) dt_1 \dots dt_\nu \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (3.8)–(3.15) and  $LE(t, s) = 0$  we see that  $L\tilde{E}(t, s)$  is a smoothing operator which satisfies (3.18) for constants  $C_{\sigma, \sigma'}$  independent of  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ . On the other hand we write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j(t, s) &= x \cdot \xi + J_j(t, s; x, \xi), \\ \Phi_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) \\ &= x \cdot \xi + J_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s; x, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Then, noting  $e^{iJ_j(t, s)}$  and  $\exp \{iJ_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s)\}$  are bounded in  $S_{0,1}^0$ , we see that  $L\tilde{E}(t, s)$  belongs to  $S_{0,1}^1$  and  $\{\sigma(L\tilde{E}(t, s))(x, \xi)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T}$  is bounded in  $S_{0,1}^1$ . Hence, by Proposition 3.2 we get

$$(3.24) \quad \tilde{R}(t, s) \equiv L\tilde{E}(t, s) \in \mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta; S^{-\infty}) \quad (\Delta = \{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}).$$

Then, setting

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{W}_1(t, s) = -i\tilde{R}(t, s), \\ \tilde{W}_\nu(t, s) = \int_s^t \tilde{W}_1(t, \theta) \tilde{W}_\nu(\theta, s) d\theta \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots) \end{cases}$$

and using the uniqueness of the fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$ , we can represent  $E(t, s)$  in the form

$$(3.25) \quad E(t, s) = \tilde{E}(t, s) + \int_s^t \tilde{E}(t, \theta) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \tilde{W}_\nu(\theta, s) d\theta.$$

Finally, using the fundamental theorem on the theory of the pseudo-differential operators of multiple symbol in [7], we see that  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \tilde{W}_\nu(t, s) \in \mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta; S^{-\infty})$ , and consequently we see that

$$W_{-\infty}(t, s) \equiv \int_s^t \tilde{E}(t, \theta) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \tilde{W}_\nu(\theta, s) d\theta \in \mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta; S^{-\infty}).$$

Q.E.D.

Using Theorem 3.1 we get a generalization of the results obtained by Ludwig-

Granoff [11] and Hata [4] (see also [3])

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that the Poisson brackets*

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\tau + \lambda_j, \tau + \lambda_k\} \\ & \equiv \partial_t \lambda_j - \partial_t \lambda_k + \nabla_x \lambda_j \cdot \nabla_\xi \lambda_k - \nabla_x \lambda_k \cdot \nabla_\xi \lambda_j = 0 \quad (j, k = 1, \dots, l). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can represent  $E(t, s)$  in the form

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} E(t, s) &= \sum_{j=1}^l \tilde{W}_{j, \phi_j}(t, s) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{k+1} \leq l} \int_s^t \dots \int_s^{t_{k-1}} \tilde{W}_{j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}; \phi_{j_1}, \dots, \phi_{j_{k+1}}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_k, s) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ & \quad (t_0 = t). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $W_{j_1, \dots, j_k; \phi_{j_1}, \dots, \phi_{j_k}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, s)$  are matrices of Fourier integral operators with phase functions  $\Phi_{j_1, \dots, j_k}(t, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, s)$  and symbols of class  $\mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta_{k-1}; S^{-\infty})$  ( $\Phi_{j_1} = \phi_{j_1}$ ,  $\Delta_0 = \Delta$ ,  $t_0 = t$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $W \equiv W_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}; \phi_{j_1}, \dots, \phi_{j_{\nu+1}}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s)$  be a Fourier integral operator with phase function

$$\Phi_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) = \phi_{j_1}(t, t_1) \# \dots \# \phi_{j_{\nu+1}}(t_\nu, s)$$

and symbol

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) \in \mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta_\nu; S^0) \\ & (\Delta_\nu = \{0 \leq s \leq t_\nu \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq t = T\}), \end{aligned}$$

and let  $\tilde{W} \equiv \tilde{W}_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}; \tilde{\phi}_{j_1}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{j_{\nu+1}}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s)$  be a Fourier integral operator with phase function

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) \\ & = \tilde{\Phi}_{j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}, j_k, \dots, j_\nu}(t, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, t_k, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_\nu, s) \end{aligned}$$

and symbol

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{W}_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, s) \\ & = W_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(t, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, t_{k-1} - t_k + t_{k+1}, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_\nu, s). \end{aligned}$$

If we set

$$I(t, t_1, \dots, t_{k+2}, s) = \int_s^{t_{k+2}} \dots \int_s^{t_{\nu-1}} W dt_{k+3} \dots dt_\nu,$$

we have

$$J \equiv \int_s^{t_{k-1}} \left\{ \int_s^{t_k} \left( \int_s^{t_{k+1}} Idt_{k+2} \right) dt_{k+1} \right\} dt_k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_s^{t_{k-1}} \left\{ \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} \left( \int_s^{t_{k+1}} Idt_{k+2} \right) dt_k \right\} dt_{k+1} \\
&= \int_s^{t_{k-1}} \left\{ \int_s^{t_{k+1}} \left( \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} Idt_k \right) dt_{k+2} \right\} dt_{k+1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} Idt_k = \int_s^{t_{k+2}} \cdots \int_s^{t_{\nu-1}} \left( \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} W dt_k \right) dt_{k+2} \cdots dt_{\nu}.$$

Then, by (1.36) of Theorem 1.10 we have

$$\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} W dt_k = \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} \tilde{W} dt_k.$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_s^t \cdots \int_s^{t_{\nu-1}} W dt_1 \cdots dt_{\nu} = \int_s^t \cdots \int_s^{t_{\nu-1}} \tilde{W} dt_1 \cdots dt_{\nu}.$$

Consequently, using  $\phi_j(t_{k-1}, t_k) \# \phi_j(t_k, t_{k+1}) = \phi_j(t_{k-1}, t_{k+1})$  ( $j=1, \dots, l$ ), we get the expression (3.27). Q.E.D.

Now, we define the trajectory  $\{Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}, P_{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}\}(t, t_1, \dots, t_{\nu}; y, \xi)$  ( $\nu=0, 1, \dots$ ) for fixed  $\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_{\nu+1}}$ ,  $0=s \leq t_{\nu+1} \leq t_{\nu} \leq \dots \leq t_0=t$  and  $(y, \eta) \in R^{2n}$  as follows: First define  $\{Q_{j_{\nu+1}}, P_{j_{\nu+1}}\}(t; y, \eta)$  as the solution of

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dq}{dt} = \nabla_{\xi} \lambda_{j_{\nu+1}}(t, q, p), & \frac{dp}{dt} = -\nabla_x \lambda_{j_{\nu+1}}(t, q, p), \\ (q, p)|_{t=0} = (y, \eta). \end{cases}$$

Next, for  $1 \leq k \leq \nu$  we define  $\{Q_{j_k, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}, P_{j_k, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}\}(t, t_k, \dots, t_{\nu}; y, \eta)$  as the solution of

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dq}{dt} = \nabla_{\xi} \lambda_{j_k}(t, q, p), & \frac{dp}{dt} = -\nabla_x \lambda_{j_k}(t, q, p), \\ (q, p)|_{t=t_k} = \{Q_{j_{k+1}, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}, P_{j_{k+1}, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}\}(t_k, \dots, t_{\nu}; y, \eta) \end{cases} \quad (k=1, \dots, \nu).$$

For  $\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_{\nu+1}}, (y, \eta)$  and a fixed  $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$  we define an  $\varepsilon$ -station-chain  $\{t_1, \dots, t_{\nu}\}$  as the points  $t=t_0 > t_1 > \dots > t_{\nu} > 0$  such that

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\lambda_{j_k}(t_k, x^k, \xi^k) - \lambda_{j_{k+1}}(t_k, x^k, \xi^k)| \leq \varepsilon \langle \xi^k \rangle \\ &\text{at } (x^k, \xi^k) = \{Q_{j_{k+1}, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}, P_{j_{k+1}, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}\}(t_k, \dots, t_{\nu}; y, \eta) \quad (k=1, \dots, \nu), \end{aligned}$$

and define the  $\varepsilon$ -station-set  $A_{\varepsilon, j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1}}(y, \eta)$  by the set of all  $\varepsilon$ -station-chains  $\{t_1, \dots, t_{\nu}\}$ . When  $\varepsilon=0$ , we often use the words ‘station-chain’ and ‘station-set’

simply, and denote  $A_{0,j_1,\dots,j_{\nu+1}}(y, \eta)$  by  $A_{j_1,\dots,j_{\nu+1}}(y, \eta)$ .

Furthermore, set

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & A_\varepsilon(t; y, \eta) \\ &= \{ \{ Q_{j_1,\dots,j_{\nu+1}}, P_{j_1,\dots,j_{\nu+1}} \} (t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu; y, \eta); \{ t_1, \dots, t_\nu \} \\ & \quad \in A_{\varepsilon,j_1,\dots,j_{\nu+1}}(y, \eta), j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+1} \in \{1, \dots, l\}, \nu=0, 1, \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

**Theorem 3.4.** *The solution of the Cauchy problem*

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{cases} LU=0 & \text{on } [0, T], \\ U|_{t=0}=U_0 \end{cases}$$

for  $U_0 = {}^t(u_{01}, \dots, u_{0l}) \in H_{-\infty} (= \bigcup_\sigma H_\sigma)$  is given by

$$U(t, x) = {}^t(u_1(t, x), \dots, u_l(t, x)) = E(t, 0)U_0 \in \mathcal{B}^\infty([0, T]; H_{-\infty}).$$

Furthermore, if we set

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{t,\varepsilon} &= \{ \delta A_\varepsilon(t; y, \eta); (y, \eta) \in WF_\varepsilon(U_0), \delta > 0, |\eta| \geq M_0 \} \\ (WF_\varepsilon(U_0) &= \{ (y, \eta); \text{dis} \{ (y, |\eta|^{-1} \eta), WF(U_0) \} \leq \varepsilon \}) \end{aligned}$$

for large  $M_0(>0)$  depending on  $M$  of (3.4), then,  $\Gamma_t = \bigcap_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \Gamma_{t,\varepsilon}$  is closed and we have for the wave front set  $WF(U(t))$

$$(3.34) \quad WF(U(t)) \subset \Gamma_t.$$

If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$  satisfies the condition (3.26) of Theorem 3.3, we can replace (3.34) by

$$(3.34)' \quad WF(U(t)) \subset \Gamma'_t = \{ A'(t; y, \eta); (y, \eta) \in WF(U_0) \},$$

where  $A'(t; y, \eta)$  is defined by

$$(3.31)' \quad \begin{aligned} & A'(t; y, \eta) \\ &= \{ \{ Q_{j_1,\dots,j_{\nu+1}}, P_{j_1,\dots,j_{\nu+1}} \} (t, t_1, \dots, t_\nu; y, \eta); \\ & \quad \{ t_1, \dots, t_\nu \} \in A_{j_1,\dots,j_{\nu+1}}(y, \eta), 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{\nu+1} \leq l \}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $E(t, 0)U_0$  is the solution of (3.32). For any  $\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_{\nu+1}}$  and  $(y, \eta)$  we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & (X_k, \bar{E}_k)(t; y, \eta) \\ &= \{ Q_{j_{k+1},\dots,j_{\nu+1}}, P_{j_{k+1},\dots,j_{\nu+1}} \} (t, t_k, \dots, t_\nu; y, \eta) \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (3.28) and (3.29), we see that for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(t; y, \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \eta) - (t'; y', \langle \eta' \rangle^{-1} \eta')| < \delta' \\ & \Rightarrow |(X_k, \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \Xi_k)(t; y, \eta) - (X_k, \langle \eta' \rangle^{-1} \Xi_k)(t'; y', \eta')| < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that  $\Gamma_t$  is closed. Let  $(x^0, \xi^0) \in \bar{\Gamma}_{t_0}$  for a fixed  $t_0 > 0$ . Then, we can choose  $(x^m, \xi^m) \in \Gamma_{t_0}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $(x^m, \xi^m) \rightarrow (x^0, \xi^0)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By the definition we can choose for  $\varepsilon_m = 2^{-m}$

$$\{t_1^m, \dots, t_{\nu_m}^m\} \in A_{\varepsilon_m, j_1^m, \dots, j_{\nu_m+1}^m}(y^m, \eta^m)$$

for some  $\lambda_{j_1^m}, \dots, \lambda_{j_{\nu_m+1}^m}$  and  $(y^m, \eta^m) \in WF_{\varepsilon_m}(U_0)$

such that

$$(3.36) \quad (x^m, \xi^m) = \{Q_{j_1^m, \dots, j_{\nu_m+1}^m}, P_{j_1^m, \dots, j_{\nu_m+1}^m}\}(t_0, t_1^m, \dots, t_{\nu_m}^m; y^m, \eta^m) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Using (3.35), we see that there exists a subsequence  $\{\gamma = m_\mu\}_{\mu=1}^\infty$  such that  $(y^\gamma, \eta^\gamma)$  converges to some  $(y^\infty, \eta^\infty) \in WF(U_0)$  and the corresponding trajectories converge uniformly to some continuous curve. Now consider the trajectories defined by

$$(x^0, \xi^0) = \{Q_{j_1^\gamma, \dots, j_{\nu_\gamma+1}^\gamma}, P_{j_1^\gamma, \dots, j_{\nu_\gamma+1}^\gamma}\}(t_0, t_1^\gamma, \dots, t_{\nu_\gamma}^\gamma; \tilde{y}^\gamma, \tilde{\eta}^\gamma).$$

Then, again using (3.35), we see that  $(\tilde{y}^\gamma, \tilde{\eta}^\gamma) \rightarrow (y^\infty, \eta^\infty) \in WF(U_0)$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\{t_1^\gamma, \dots, t_{\nu_\gamma}^\gamma\} \in A_{\varepsilon_\gamma', j_1^\gamma, \dots, j_{\nu_\gamma+1}^\gamma}(\tilde{y}^\gamma, \tilde{\eta}^\gamma)$  for some  $\{\varepsilon_\gamma'\}$  such that  $\varepsilon_\gamma' \rightarrow 0$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ , which means that  $(x^0, \xi^0) \in \Gamma_{t_0}$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_{t_0}$  is closed. From this proof it is clear that  $\Gamma'_t = \Gamma_t$ , if we consider  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{\nu+1} \leq l$ .

II) Take a fixed point  $(x^0, \xi^0) \notin \Gamma_{t_0}$  for a fixed  $t_0 > 0$ . For a fixed  $(y^0, \eta^0)$  choose  $a(x), a_1(x), b(\xi), b_1(\eta)$  which have small supports in (conic-) neighborhoods of  $x^0, y^0, \xi^0, \eta^0$ , respectively.

Consider  $a(X)b(D_x)E(t_0, 0)b_1(D_x)a_1(X)$  for the fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  of  $L$ . Let  $W_\phi(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, 0)$  be a Fourier integral operator appearing in the expression of  $E(t, s)$  in the form (3.17). Then, from the product formulas for pseudo-differential operators and Fourier integral operators in [8] (or from Theorem 2.3 of the present paper), we have for  $W'_\phi = a(X)b(D_x)W_\phi b_1(D_x)a_1(X)$

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sigma(W'_\phi)(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, 0; x, \eta) \\ & \sim \sum_{\zeta, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta} C_{\zeta, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta}(x, \eta) a(x) b^{(\zeta)}(\nabla_x \Phi(x, \eta)) W_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \eta) b_1^{(\mu)}(\eta) a_{1(\theta)}(\nabla_\xi \Phi(x, \eta)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that

$$(3.38) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{supp } a(x)b(\nabla_x \Phi(x, \eta)) \cap \text{supp } a_1(\nabla_\xi \Phi(x, \eta))b_1(\eta) = \phi \\ & \Rightarrow W'_\phi \in S^{-\infty} \quad \text{at } (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_\nu, 0). \end{aligned}$$

So we see that if we define  $(y^0, \eta^0)$  by

$$(3.39) \quad y^0 = \nabla_\xi \Phi(x^0, \eta^0), \quad \xi^0 = \nabla_x \Phi(x^0, \eta^0),$$

we may only consider  $W'_\phi$  for such  $(y^0, \eta^0)$  in order to investigate  $WF(U(t_0))$ .

III) Now, for  $\Phi = \phi_{j_1}(t_0, t_1) \# \cdots \# \phi_{j_{\nu+1}}(t_\nu, 0)$  we write

$$(3.40) \quad W'_\phi U_0(x) = \int e^{i\Phi}(W'_\phi)(x, \eta) \hat{U}_0(\eta) d\eta.$$

We note that the relation (3.39) is decomposed into

$$(3.41) \quad (x^0, \xi^0) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{j_1}} (X_\nu^1, \Xi_\nu^1) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{j_2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\lambda_{j_\nu}} (X_\nu^\nu, \Xi_\nu^\nu) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{j_{\nu+1}}} (y^0, \eta^0),$$

where  $\{X_\nu^j, \Xi_\nu^j\}_{j=1}^\nu$  is defined by

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{cases} X_\nu^j = \nabla_\xi \phi_j(t_{j-1}, t_j; X_\nu^{j-1}, \Xi_\nu^j), \\ \Xi_\nu^j = \nabla_x \phi_{j+1}(t_j, t_{j+1}; X_\nu^j, \Xi_\nu^{j+1}), & j=1, \dots, \nu \\ (X^0 = x^0, \xi^0 = \nabla_x \Phi(x^0, \eta^0) = \nabla_x \phi_1(t_0, t_1; x^0, \Xi_\nu^1), \\ y^0 = \nabla_\xi \Phi(x^0, \eta^0) = \nabla_\xi \phi_{\nu+1}(X_\nu^\nu, \eta^0), \Xi_\nu^{\nu+1} = \eta^0, t_{\nu+1} = 0). \end{cases}$$

Then, if we assume  $(x^0, \xi^0) \notin \Gamma_{t_0, \varepsilon_0}$  for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , we have for any  $\{t_1^0, \dots, t_\nu^0\}$

$$(3.43) \quad \begin{aligned} (y^0, \eta^0) \in WF_{\varepsilon_0}(U_0) &\Rightarrow \exists (X_\nu^k, \Xi_\nu^k) \quad \text{such that} \\ \varepsilon_0 \langle \Xi_\nu^k \rangle &\leq |\lambda_{j_k}(t_k^0, X_\nu^k, \Xi_\nu^k) - \lambda_{j_{k+1}}(t_k^0, X_\nu^k, \Xi_\nu^k)| \\ &\left( = \left| \frac{d}{dt_k} \Phi \right| \text{ by Theorem 1.9-ii} \right) \quad \text{at } \{t_1^0, \dots, t_\nu^0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, choosing a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\gamma(t_k)$  on  $[0, T]$  such that  $\gamma(t_k^0) \equiv 0$  and (3.43) holds for  $\varepsilon_0/2$  on  $\text{supp } \gamma$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} \gamma(t_k) e^{i\Phi} \sigma(W'_\phi) dt_k &= -i \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} \frac{d}{dt_k} e^{i\Phi} \cdot \left( \frac{d\Phi}{dt_k} \right)^{-1} \gamma \sigma(W'_\phi) dt_k \\ &= \left[ -ie^{i\Phi} \left( \frac{d\Phi}{dt_k} \right)^{-1} \gamma \sigma(W'_\phi) \right]_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} + i \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} e^{i\Phi} \cdot \frac{d}{dt_k} \left\{ \left( \frac{d\Phi}{dt_k} \right)^{-1} \gamma \sigma(W'_\phi) \right\} dt_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that  $\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_{k-1}} \gamma(t_k) W'_\phi dt_k$  can be written as the Fourier integral operator of order  $-1$ . For any  $W_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}}$  in the expression of (3.17) for  $\mathbf{E}(t, s)$ , we consider one element

$$W''_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}} = \gamma(t_1) \cdots \gamma(t_\nu) a(X) b(D_x) W_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}} b_1(D_x) a_1(X).$$

Then, repeating the integration by parts, and noting that  $\{\mathbf{J}_{\nu+1} = \Phi_{\nu+1} - x \cdot \xi\}$  and  $\{\sigma(W''_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}})/C^\nu\}$  (for a constant  $C$  independent of  $\nu$ ) are bounded in  $S^1$  and  $S^0$  with respect to  $\nu$ , respectively, we see that  $(x^0, \xi^0) \notin WF(U(t_0))$ . Hence, we get (3.34).

Q.E.D.

Finally we consider a system of differential operators of the form

$$(3.44) \quad \mathcal{L} = D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(t, x) D_{x_j} + B(t, x) \quad \text{on } [0, T] \times R_x^n,$$

where  $A_j(t, x)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $B(t, x)$  are  $l \times l$  matrices of  $\mathcal{B}^\infty$ -functions on  $[0, T] \times R_x^n$ . Assume that the matrix  $A(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(t, x) \xi_j$  has real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(t, x, \xi), \dots, \lambda_l(t, x, \xi)$  of class  $\mathcal{B}^\infty([0, T]; S^1)$  outside of  $\xi = 0$ . Assume, furthermore, that there exist eigenvectors  $N_j(t, x, \xi)$  corresponding to  $\lambda_j(t, x, \xi)$ , respectively, such that for  $N(t, x, \xi) = (N_1(t, x, \xi), \dots, N_l(t, x, \xi))$

$$(3.45) \quad N(t, x, \xi), \quad N(t, x, \xi)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^\infty([0, T]; S^0) \quad (\text{outside } \xi = 0).$$

Then, modifying  $\lambda_j$  and  $N_j$  in a neighborhood of  $\xi = 0$ , we can use  $N(t, x, \xi)$  as the diagonalizer of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Hence, letting  $Q(t, X, D_x)$  be the parametrix of  $N(t, X, D_x)$ , we see that  $L = Q(t, X, D_x) \mathcal{L} N(t, X, D_x)$  has the form (3.1), and we have

**Theorem 3.5.** *Under the above assumptions the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  of (3.44) has the fundamental solution  $\tilde{E}(t, s)$  of the form*

$$(3.46) \quad \tilde{E}(t, s) = N(t, X, D_x) E(t, s) Q(t, X, D_x) + \tilde{W}_{-\infty}(t, s),$$

where  $E(t, s)$  is the fundamental solution for  $L$  and  $\tilde{W}_{-\infty}$  is a smoothing operator of class  $\mathcal{B}^\infty(\Delta, S^{-\infty})$  ( $\Delta = \{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ ).

Furthermore, the initial value problem

$$(3.47) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}U = 0 & \text{on } [0, T], \\ U|_{t=0} = U_0 \end{cases}$$

can be solved by  $U(t) = \tilde{E}(t, 0)U_0$ , and for the solution  $U(t)$  we get the statement (3.34).

## References

- [1] Carathéodory, C., Calculus of variations and partial differential equations of the first order, Part I, Holden-Day, Amsterdam, 1965 (translated from the German original, 1935).
- [2] Fedoryuk, M. V., The stationary phase method and pseudo-differential operators, Russian Math. Surveys, **26** (1971), 65–115.
- [3] Gautesen, A. K. and Ludwig, D., Tangential characteristics and coupling waves, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **38** (1972), 430–446.
- [4] Hata, M., On the Cauchy problem for hyperbolic operators with characteristic roots of variable multiplicity, Master thesis, Osaka University, 1977.
- [5] Hörmander, L., Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations, Proc. Symposium on Singular Integrals, Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1967), 138–183.
- [6] Hörmander, L., Fourier integral operators I, Acta Math., **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [7] Kumano-go, H., Pseudo-differential operators, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1974 (in Japanese).

- [ 8 ] Kumano-go, H., A calculus of Fourier integral operators on  $R^n$  and the fundamental solution for an operator of hyperbolic type, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **1** (1976), 1–44.
- [ 9 ] Kumano-go, H., Fundamental solutions for operators of regularly hyperbolic type, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **29** (1977), 399–406.
- [10] Kumano-go, H., Taniguchi, K. and Tozaki, Y., Multi-products of phase functions for Fourier integral operators with an application, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **3** (1978), 349–380.
- [11] Ludwig, D. and Granoff, B., Propagation of singularities along characteristics with non-uniform multiplicity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **21** (1968), 556–574.

nuna adreso:

Kumano-go, H.

Department of Mathematics

Osaka University

Toyonaka, Osaka, Japan

Taniguchi, K.

Department of Mathematics

University of Osaka Prefecture

Mozu, Sakai, Japan

(Ricevita la 31-an de marto, 1978)